# International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science

Journal CrossRef DOI: 10.22161/ijaems

(IJAEMS) An Open Access Peer-Reviewed International Journal



## Vol-8, Issue-2 | Feb 2022

Issue DOI: 10.22161/ijaems.82



https://www.ijaems.com/ | editor@ijaems.com

## International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science

## (ISSN: 2454-1311)

DOI: 10.22161/ijaems

Vol-8, Issue-2

February, 2022

Editor in Chief

Dr. Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy

Chief Executive Editor

Dr. S. Suman Rajest

Copyright © 2022 International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science

Publisher

Infogain Publication Email: <u>ijaems.editor@gmail.com</u>; <u>editor@ijaems.com</u> Web: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

## Editorial Board/ Reviewer Board

#### Dr. Zafer Omer Ozdemir

Energy Systems Engineering Kırklareli, Kirklareli University, Turkey

#### Dr. H.Saremi

Vice- chancellor For Adminstrative & Finance Affairs, Islamic Azad university of Iran, Quchan branch, Quchan-Iran

#### Dr. Ahmed Kadhim Hussein

Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Babylon, Republic of Iraq

#### Mohammad Reza Kabaranzad Ghadim

Associated Prof., Department of Management, Industrial Management, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

#### Prof. Ramel D. Tomaquin

Prof. 6 in the College of Business and Management, Surigao del Sur State University (SDSSU), Tandag City, Surigao Del Sur, Philippines

#### Dr. Ram Karan Singh

BE.(Civil Engineering), M.Tech.(Hydraulics Engineering), PhD(Hydraulics & Water Resources Engineering), BITS- Pilani, Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia.

#### Dr. Asheesh Kumar Shah

IIM Calcutta, Wharton School of Business, DAVV INDORE, SGSITS, Indore Country Head at CrafSOL Technology Pvt.Ltd, Country Coordinator at French Embassy, Project Coordinator at IIT Delhi, INDIA

#### Dr. Ebrahim Nohani

Ph.D.(hydraulic Structures), Department of hydraulic Structures, Islamic Azad University, Dezful, IRAN.

#### Dr.Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy

Specialization Banking and Finance, Professor, Department Banking and Finance, Viet Nam

#### Dr. Shuai Li

Computer Science and Engineering, University of Cambridge, England, Great Britain

#### Dr. Ahmadad Nabih ZakiRashed

Specialization Optical Communication System, Professor, Department of Electronic Engineering, Menoufia University

#### Dr.Alok Kumar Bharadwaj

BE(AMU), ME(IIT, Roorkee), Ph.D (AMU), Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, INDIA

#### Dr. M. Kannan

Specialization in Software Engineering and Data mining, Ph.D, Professor, Computer Science, SCSVMV University, Kanchipuram, India

#### Dr.Sambit Kumar Mishra

Specialization Database Management Systems, BE, ME, Ph.D, Professor, Computer Science Engineering Gandhi Institute for Education and Technology, Baniatangi, Khordha, India

#### Dr. M. Venkata Ramana

Specialization in Nano Crystal Technology, Ph.D, Professor, Physics, Andhara Pradesh, INDIA

#### Dr.Swapnesh Taterh

*Ph.d with Specialization in Information System Security, Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science Engineering Amity University, INDIA* 

#### Dr. Rabindra Kayastha

Associate Professor, Department of Natural Sciences, School of Science, Kathmandu University, Nepal Amir Azizi

Assistant Professor, Department of Industrial Engineering, Science and Research Branch-Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

#### Dr. A. Heidari

Faculty of Chemistry, California South University (CSU), Irvine, California, USA

#### DR. C. M. Velu

Prof.& HOD, CSE, Datta Kala Group of Institutions, Pune, India

#### Dr. Sameh El-Sayed Mohamed Yehia

Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering (Structural), Higher Institute of Engineering -El-Shorouk Academy, Cairo, Egypt

#### Dr. Hou, Cheng-I

Specialization in Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Wisdom Tourism, Leisure Agriculture and Farm Planning, Associate Professor, Department of Tourism and MICE, Chung Hua University, Hsinchu Taiwan

#### Branga Adrian Nicolae

Associate Professor, Teaching and research work in Numerical Analysis, Approximation Theory and Spline Functions, Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania

#### Dr. Amit Rathi

Department of ECE, SEEC, Manipal University Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

#### Dr. Elsanosy M. Elamin

Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering. University of Kordofan, P.O. Box: 160, Elobeid, Sudan

#### Dr. Subhaschandra Gulabrai Desai

Professor, Computer Engineering, SAL Institute of Technology and Engineering Research, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

#### Dr. Manjunatha Reddy H S

Prof & Head-ECE, Global Academy of Technology, Raja Rajeshwari Nagar, Bangalore, India

#### Herlandí de Souza Andrade

Centro Estadual de Educação Tecnológica Paula Souza, Faculdade de Tecnologia de Guaratinguetá Av. Prof. João Rodrigues Alckmin, 1501 Jardim Esperança - Guaratinguetá 12517475, SP – Brazil

#### Dr. Eman Yaser Daraghmi

Assistant Professor, Ptuk, Tulkarm, Palestine (Teaching Artificial intelligence, mobile computing, advanced programming language (JAVA), Advanced topics in database management systems, parallel computing, and linear algebra)

#### Ali İhsan KAYA

Head of Department, Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Technical Sciences Vocational School Department of Design, Turkey

#### Professor Jacinta A.Opara

Professor and Director, Centre for Health and Environmental Studies, University of Maiduguri, P. M.B 1069, Maiduguri Nigeria

#### Siamak Hoseinzadeh

Ph.D. in Energy Conversion Engineering Lecturer & Project Supervisor of University, Level 3/3, Islamic Azad University West Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran.

## Vol-8, Issue-2, February, 2022

(DOI: 10.22161/ijaems.82)

Sr.	Title with Article detail
No.	
1	Punching strengthening of reinforced concrete slab-Column connection using different techniques Gamal I. Khaleel, Ahmed H.Abdel Kareem, Ahmed S. Debaiky, Eslam G.Abd El-maogod
	Page No: 01-16
2	Cost benefit Analysis Between Solar-Powered and Diesel Fuel-Fed Pumps of the
	National Irrigation Administration Upper Pampanga River Integrated Irrigation
	Systems
	Christian Emmanuel Bencalo, Rosalie D. Dela Cruz, Debbie Rose Violago, Merlin B.
	Magtalas, Catherine S. Aquino, Mercedes D. Santos
	<b>DOI</b> : <u>10.22161/ijaems.82.2</u>
	Page No: 17-21



## Punching strengthening of reinforced concrete slab-Column connection using different techniques

Gamal I. Khaleel<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed H.Abdel Kareem<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed S. Debaiky<sup>3</sup>, Eslam G.Abd Elmaogod<sup>4</sup>

> <sup>1,2,3</sup>Professor, Faculty of Engineering, Benha University, Egypt. <sup>4</sup>Teaching Assistant, Faculty of Engineering, Benha University, Egypt.

Received: 11 Dec 2021; Received in revised form: 03 Feb 2022; Accepted: 10 Feb 2022; Available online: 18 Feb 2022

Abstract— This paper presents experimental data and results on the effect of externally bonded fiberreinforced polymer (FRP) with studs from FRB on the punching shear behavior of interior slab-column connections. A total of sixteen square slabs with a concentric column were constructed and tested. One specimen act as a control, and the others specimens strengthened with three different strengthening techniques. The first technique consists of 6 slabs strengthened with external FRB strips with different configuration (skewed or orthogonal) and different location from column face (offset or adjacent) and the second technique consist of 4 slab strengthened with external FRB strips with studs from FRP located on both side of the strips with spacing 100 mm and cross at intersection of strips which act as shear reinforcement and wrapping FRP strips to delayed debonding of FRP and improve the structure behavior of strengthened connection. The third technique consists of 5 slabs strengthened with external FRB and steel plates with different size 350 x 350 mm and 450 x 450 mm at the center of the slab. The test variables were the location of FRP strips (adjacent or offset column face), the number of strips layer and the orientation angle of the strips (Orthogonal and Skewed), and the type of materials (GFRP, CFRP, Steel). Size of plate 350 x 350 mm or 450 x 450 mm and method of strengthening. The test results clearly showed that FRP with studs strengthening leads to significant improvements in the structural behavior of slabcolumn connections. The increase in punching capacity of strengthened slabs was up to 48%, while the increase in stiffness was up to 92% compared to the control slab.

Keywords—Concrete, Flat Slab, FRP, Punching, slab-column connections, strengthening.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

UBLICATION

Flat concrete slabs are a typical form of flooring systems used in a wide range of buildings such as offices, warehouses, and parking garages. Flat slabs are directly supported on columns providing more vertical clear space due to the absence of beams. The connection between the slab and the column in this system is generally the most critical part due to its vulnerability to punching shear failure. Punching shear failures are very brittle in nature and take place within small deflections. Punching strength in slabs can become insufficient due to several reasons such as change of building use, corrosion of reinforcement, and construction or design errors. Punching shear is characterized by cracking within the slab around the This article can be downloaded from here: www.ijaems.com column with a truncated cone-shaped element being displaced. In general, the predicted punching failure load is governed largely by the flexural characteristics of the slab. Over the past decade, a significant amount of research has dealt with various strengthening techniques for concrete slab-column connections to prevent sudden punching shear failure. FRP can be used in two methods; externally installed [1] [2] and internally installed [3] [4]. The FRP externally strengthened system consists of one or more FRP sheets / strips bonded to the tension side of the slab using epoxy adhesive. This strengthening method increases the flexural reinforcement and therefore increases the punching shear strength by delaying the shear cracks formation. The common failure for external strengthening technique is the premature debonding of FRP, which could be delayed and improve the structural behavior of strengthened connection by providing end anchorage to the externally bonded FRP [5].Post-installation of FRP studs or FRP shear dowels, as shear reinforcement, in drilled holes filled with suitable epoxy grout falls into internal strengthened method for slab-columns connections. The drilled holes, to insert shear reinforcement, in the critical punching shear area of the slab near the column could further damage the degenerated slab. The near surface mounted (NSM) for strengthening RC elements. In this strengthening method, grooves are cut in the concrete cover of the structural elements and are partially filled by a suitable bonding adhesive. After that, the reinforcing bars are inserted in the grooves and covered by the bonding adhesive. Finally, the surface of the structure element is leveled. The strengthening of RC beams and slabs in flexure by NSM technique using FRP bars [6] [7] [8].Another research investigated the shear performance of RC beams strengthened by the NSM technique using FRP bars in the form of external stirrups [9] [10].

The main objective of this study is to investigate the effectiveness of using combination of FRP strips with studs from FRP which wrapping FRP strips to delayed debonding of FRP strips, act as shear reinforcement and improve structural behavior against punching shear failure. This paper presents the experimental results of the study and a comparison of the test data with an analytical model.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

#### 2.1 Specimens and test matrix

Sixteen RC slab-column connections subjected to punching loading were constructed and tested in the experimental program. The main objective of the test program was to investigate the effectiveness of using combination of FRP strips with studs from FRP. Studs wrapping FRP strips to delayed debonding of FRP strips, act as shear reinforcement and improve structural behavior against punching shear failure. One specimen act as a control, and the others specimens strengthened with three different strengthening techniques. The first technique consists of 6 slabs strengthened with external FRB strips with different configuration (skewed or orthogonal) and different location from column face (offset or adjacent) and the second technique consist of 4 slab strengthened with external FRB strips with studs from FRP located on both side of the strips with spacing 100 mm and cross at intersection of strips which act as shear reinforcement and wrapping FRP strips to delayed debonding of FRP and improve the structure behavior of strengthened connection. The third technique consists of 5 slabs strengthened with external FRB and steel plates with different size 350 x 350 mm and 450 x 450 mm at the center of the slab.

The description of strengthening schemes and nomenclatures for tested specimens is gives in Table 1. The first letter of the nomenclature of the specimen (S) standing for slab. The second letter points to the strengthening technique, where (P) for externally bonded plate and (S) for externally bonded strips. The third letter refers to the strengthening configuration relative to the orientation of the slab reinforcement, (O) for orthogonal and (K) for skewed. The fourth letter indicates the location of strips from the column (F) offset column face (A) adjacent to column face. The fifth letter point to type of material used in strengthening (G) for glass fiber (C) for carbon fiber and (S) for the steel.The sixth letter point to the presence of studs or not (S) for studs. Figure. 1 shows the strengthening schemes used in the presents study.

All the specimens had the same dimensions and steel reinforcement details, as shown in Figure. 2. The slab dimensions were 1150x1150 mm and 100 mm thick. The specimens were designed to be supported along the four edges with clean spans 1000 mm in both directions. A column stub 150x150 mm was cast monolithically at the center of the slab. To simulate the actual interior slabcolumn connections, the column extended 150 mm up and 50 mm down the slab faces, and the slab reinforced with top and bottom meshes. The slabs were reinforced using high tensile steel bars of 10 mm diameter. The bottom mesh was 11Ø10 and the top mesh was 7Ø10. The columns were reinforced with vertical high tensile steel bars Ø12 in each corner of the column and normal mild steel stirrups 8 mm every 100 mm. A clear concrete cover of 20 mm and 15 mm was kept at bottom and top of the slabs, respectively.

This article can be downloaded from here: www.ijaems.com

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.



Fig.1. Strengthening Schemes (all dimensions in mm)

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

	Group	Slab ID	Fabrictype	Number of FRPlayers	studs type with spacing 100mm	Description ofstrengthening configuration
1		S	-	-	-	Control; no strengthening
2	I-7	A-G-S	Glass	2	Glass	
3		S-S-O-A-C	Carbon	2	-	
4	group 1	S-S-O-A-G	Glass	2	-	gonal; adjacent tocolumn face
5	8F	S-S-O-A-G*	Glass	3	-	
6		S-S-O-F-G-S	Glass	2	Glass	hogonal; offsetcolumn face (d)
7	group 2	S-S-O-F-G	Glass	2	-	
8	-A-G-S		Glass	2	Glass	wed; adjacent tocolumn edge
9	group 3	S-S-K-A-G	Glass	2	-	
10		S-S-K-F-G-S	Glass	2	Glass	offset columnedge (d)
11	group 4	S-S-K-F-G	Glass	2	-	
12		S-P-O-F-G	Glass 350X350	2	-	
13		S-P-O-F-G**	Glass 450X450	2	-	Center of the slab
14	group5	S-P-O-F-C	Carbon 450X450	2	-	
15		S-P-O-F-S	Steel 350X350	-	-	Center of the slab
16	group6	S-P-O-F-S*	Steel 450X450	-	-	

Table 1. Test Matrix

G\*: specimen strengthened with three layers

G\*\*: specimen strengthened with glass plate with size 450 x 450 mm

S\*: specimen strengthened with steel plate with size 450 x 450 mm



Fig.2. Dimensions and reinforcement details for tested specimens (All dimensions in mm)

#### 2.2 Material properties

#### 2.2.1 Concrete

The concrete mixture used in the tested specimens consists of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC-42.5 grade), natural sand with 2.6 fineness moduli and crushed dolomite with maximum aggregate size 16 mm. the target compressive strength (fcu) at 28 days was 30 Map. The actual fcu was obtained at the day of testing and based on cubes (150x150x150 mm) were casted and cured with the tested specimens.

#### 2.2.2 GFRP Sheets and CFRP Sheets

CFRP strips used in this study are SikaWrap230, which is a product of Sika Company. The strips were bonded to the bottom face of the slabs using epoxy Sikadur-330. Table 2 gives the mechanical properties of the carbon fiber, glass fiber, glass studs and steel according

to the manufacturer. The width of the strips was 100 mm.

#### 2.2.3 Strengthening procedures

#### 2.2.3.1 EB Strengthening technique

The CFRP and GFRP strips externally strengthened the concrete slab, were cutoff 800 mm long and 100 mm width and were placed around column in orthogonal or skew orientation, as shown in Fig.1. Angle grinder with a wire brush was used to rough the concrete surface, where the strips would be placed. The surface was cleaned from loose materials using a vacuum cleaner. Then, the epoxy adhesive (Sikadur-330) was applied on both strips surfaces and the marked locations on the concrete surface. The strips were then pressed on to the concrete surface using a smaller roller. The excess epoxy was squeezed from the slides and cleaned.

This article can be downloaded from here: www.ijaems.com

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.



location of holes before casting



just after casting concrete



removing small pieces of steel bars



levelling the bottom surface of the slab by using grinder



bonded the FRP strips by using epoxy



fixed the studs on their places



Just after bonded the studs by using epoxy



the rolls of GFRP studs

Fig.3. Strengthening Procedures using EB technique with studs

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

#### 2.2.3.2 EB Strengthening technique with studs

The same previous technique but make holes on the side of strips which penetrating overall slab depth the holes were formed during concrete casting using small pieces of steel bar, as indicated previously. After casting and curing the specimens, the holes were cleaned from the steel pieces and loose materials. Then the epoxy Sikador-330 filled halfway the hole, GFRP studs was slightly inserted forcing the epoxy to fill completely between the sides of the hole and the studs. A second layer of epoxy was applied to fill the hole and the residue epoxy was removed, and the surface was leveled, studs from FRP located on both side of the strips with spacing 100 mm and cross at intersection of strips which act as shear reinforcement and wrapping FRP strips to delayed debonding of FRP and improve the structure behavior of strengthened connection Fig.3 shows the strengthening procedures for a specimen using EP technique with studs.

#### 2.2.4 Test-up and Instrumentation

The specimens were centrally loaded using a hydraulic jack, 1000 kN maximum capacity, connected to an electric pump, and hanged in a rigid reaction frame, 1000 kN maximum capacity. The specimens were supported on steel rod bars along all four sides to behave as simply supported. The rod bars were welded to I-shaped steel beams. The load was distributed to the head of column stub using a thick steel plate. The applied load was record using a load cell of 1000 kN maximum capacity placed under the hydraulic jack. To monitor the deflection, Three Linear Variable Differential Transducers (LVDT) were placed beneath the center of the column stub and quarter-span of the slab. For each strengthened specimen, one strain gauge was attached to the strips and the other attached to concrete surface. The cracks propagation was marked with applied load increasing up to failure. All test data were captured using data acquisition system and recorded on a computer at intervals of two seconds. Figure. 4 illustrates the test set-up.

Table 2. Dimensions and characteristic properties of GFRP, CFRP and STEEL

Characteristic	<b>GFRP</b> sheets	Characteristic	<b>GFRP Studs</b>
Fabric width (mm)	500	Diameter	6
Fabric thickness (mm)	0.17	Tensile strength	1375
Tensile strength (Mpa)	2300	Modulus of elasticity (Mpa)	66245
Modulus of elasticity (Mpa)	76000		
Strain at failure	3.0%		
Characteristic	GFRP sheets	Characteristic	Steel Sheets
Fabric width (mm)	500	Sheet width (mm)	350 x 350
Fabric width (mm) Fabric thickness (mm)	500 0.128	Sheet width (mm) Sheet thickness (mm)	350 x 350 450 x 450
Fabric width (mm) Fabric thickness (mm) Tensile strength (Mpa)	500 0.128 4300	Sheet width (mm) Sheet thickness (mm)	350 x 350 450 x 450
Fabric width (mm) Fabric thickness (mm) Tensile strength (Mpa) Modulus of elasticity (Mpa)	500 0.128 4300 234000	Sheet width (mm) Sheet thickness (mm)	350 x 350 450 x 450

## III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental test results are summarized in Table 3. The effect of test parameters on the behavior of tested specimens under punching load will been discussed in the following sections.

#### 3.1 Load-deflection Relationships

Figures.5-11 show the deflection of the column stub, located at the center of the slab, versus the applied load according to the test parameters. The curve of control specimen increased almost linear till reached the peak

load, and then the load suddenly dropped due to brittle punching shear failure. The load-deflection curves for the strengthened specimens were similar to that of control specimen, except the decreasing of the load after reaching its peak value was less sharp. The load-def show increasing in the ultimate load and decreasing in the deflection at the same load for all strengthened specimens compared to the control specimen. The reduction of the deflection of the strengthened specimens compared to control specimen were qualified by measuring the deflection for all test specimens at the ultimate load of the control specimen ( $\Delta_{uc}$ ), which listed in Table 3.

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

This article can be downloaded from here: www.ijaems.com



Fig.4. Test Set-up

			· 1							
Slab ID	Fcu (Mpa)	1 <sup>ST</sup> (	Cracking	I	Ultimate	$\frac{P_u}{P_{control}}$		Un- cracked Stiffness (Ki)	Ultimate Stiffness (K <sub>U</sub> )	
	_	Load(p <sub>cr</sub> )KN	Deflection(Δ <sub>cr</sub> )mm	Load(p u)KN	Deflection(Δ <sub>cr</sub> ) mm		Δuc	$\frac{\mathbf{P}_{cr}}{\Delta_{cr}}$	$\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}-\mathbf{P}_{cr}}{\Delta_{u}-\Delta_{cr}}$	
S	31.80	51	2.94	172	13.30	1	13.30	17.35	11.67	
S-S-O-A-G	32.40	75	2.92	188.89	10.08	1.1	9.17	25.68	15.90	
S-S-O-A-G*	32.20	68	2.88	195.89	13.04	1.14	11.47	23.61	12.52	
S-S-O-A-C	31.50	51	2.93	191.49	13.10	1.11	11.56	17.40	13.81	
S-S-O-A-G-S	32.45	77	2.285	220.69	11.06	1.28	8.73	23.44	18.48	
S-S-O-F-G-S	32.20	75	2.55	240.30	11.90	1.40	8.62	29.44	17.67	
S-S-O-F-G	33.0	72	2.68	214.29	12.96	1.25	10.52	26.86	13.84	
S-S-K-A-G-S	32.80	63	2.20	232.49	13.05	1.35	9.78	28.64	15.62	
S-S-K-A-G	31.87 0	65	2.44	202.40	10.136	1.18	8.73	26.64	17.85	
S-S-K-F-G-S	32.50	67	2.49	254.34	11.90	1.48	8.08	26.91	20.23	
S-S-K-F-G	33.20	63	2.78	227.69	12.82	1.32	9.68	21.95	16.55	
S-P-O-F-G	31.60	58	2.35	183.10	13.10	1.06	12.4	24.68	11.63	
S-P-O-F-G**	32.40	58	2.78	202.10	13.0	1.18	11.09	20.86	14.10	
S-P-O-F-C	31.40	53	2.72	210.0	11.45	1.22	9.51	17.98	16.92	

 Table 3. Summary of experimental results

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Khaleel et al.			Internat	ional Journal o	f Advanced Engineerir	ng, Managem	ent and Sc	ience, 8(2)	-2022
S-P-O-F-S	32.30	55	2.96	192.0	12.76	1.12	11.45	18.58	13.97
S-P-O-F-S*	31.70	56	2.53	205.10	11.17	1.19	9.42	22.13	17.25

 $\Delta_{uc}$  , the deflection for all test specimens at the ultimate load of the control specimen.



Fig.5. Central deflection comparison based on the number of strengthening layers.



Fig.6. Central deflection comparison based on the type of strengthened material.

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>



Fig.7: Central deflection comparison based on the size of steel and glass plate.



Fig.8. Central deflection comparison based on the effect location of the strips without studs



Fig.9. Central deflection comparison based on the effect location of the strips with studs

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Fig.10. Central deflection comparison based on the effect of studs



Fig.11. Central deflection comparison based on the effect of studs

#### 3.2 Load carrying capacity

The cracking load (P<sub>cr</sub>), the ultimate load (P<sub>u</sub>), and the gain in the Pu for the strengthened specimens compared with the control specimen are listed in Table 3. Pcr for the specimens strengthened externally by FRP strips was slightly improved in comparison with the control specimen. On the other hand, the strengthening using EB with studs' effectively enhanced, where Pcr increased in comparison with the control specimen by 45-50% and 23.5-32% for orthogonal and skewed respectively. The ultimate load capacity for all strengthened specimens had a notable increase, where the gain in Pu was 10-48%. The increase in Pu for the specimens strengthened with EB with studs over the control specimen was 28-48%. While the increase in Pu was only 10-32% for specimens strengthened with EB-FRP strips. Thus, the increase in P<sub>u</sub> for the specimens which strengthened with Glass, Carbon and Steel plate over the control specimen was 6-22%. The

increase in  $P_{cr}$  for the specimens strengthened with EB with studs over the control specimen was 31-51%. While the increase in  $P_{cr}$  was only 23-47% for specimens strengthened with EB-FRP strips. Thus, The increase in  $P_{cr}$  for the specimens which strengthened with Glass, Carbon and Steel plate over the control specimen was 4-14% it can be concluded that using EB with studs technique for strengthening slabs has well-contributed in increasing the punching shear capacity of slab-column connections than using EB technique.

Considering the strengthening arrangement, it can be noted that the skewed strengthening arrangement produced a slight increase in  $P_u$ , compared to the orthogonal arrangement.

Comparing the different locations of strips from the column face, it can be noted that the strips placed offset to column face with spacing equal slab depth gave relatively higher increase in  $P_u$  compared to the strips placed

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

adjacent to column face. For specimens with orthogonal strengthening arrangement, the strips which located offset column face  $P_u$  increase 8.8% than specimen which located adjacent to column face. Similar, for the specimens with skewed strengthening arrangement, the strips which located offset column face  $P_u$  increase 9.4% than the

specimen which strips placed adjacent to column face. The specimen which strengthened by external plate at the center of the slab has 22% increase than control specimen Figure.12 shows a comparison between  $P_{cr}$  and  $P_u$  for all tested specimens.



Fig.13. Crack pattern at failure load for the test specimens (bottom faces

#### 3.3 Stiffness

The un-cracked stiffness  $(K_i)$  and the ultimate stiffness This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>  $(K_u)$  had been calculated for tested specimens from the load and deflection values at cracking and ultimate loads,

ticle can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u> ©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

as presented in Table 3. It shows  $k_i$  increased for all strengthened specimens up to 69% compared to the control specimen. On the other hand, Ku affected by the strengthening technique. Where, Ku effectively increased for specimens strengthened using EB with studs by 33-58%, and slightly increased for specimens with EB-FRP strips up to 52% compared to the control specimen.

Considering the effect of strengthening arrangement, the skewed strengthening arrangement showed higher stiffness compared to the orthogonal arrangement. The results indicate that Ku was less affected by strengthening arrangement than Ki.

#### 3.4 Crack Propagation and Failure Characteristics

Cracking pattern and distribution at failure at the bottom face of the slabs for all tested specimens are shown in Figure. 13. The failure mode for all specimens was punching shear. The control specimen exhibited flexural cracks that started near the column stub and extended towards the slab edges, especially towards the corners as the applied load increased. The failure was sudden, immediately after the specimen reached its ultimate capacity, and followed by a sharp drop in the load exerted to the control specimen. The punching shear failure plane on the bottom face can be easily seen around the column and at distances from its face ranged from 160 mm to the slab edges (450 mm) and associated with separation from the slab surface.

For the strengthened specimens, the flexural cracks started outside the strengthened strips which located adjacent column face, or flexural cracks started near the column face and did not propagate through the strengthened strips when the strips located offset column face. Similar to the control specimen, the flexural cracks propagated towards the slab edges. Reducing the spread of flexural cracks in the punching shear zone around the columns led to an increase in the punching shear capacity for the specimens. The distances from punching shear failure planes to the columns face were less than that for control specimen; especially for specimens strengthened with strips which located offset column face with spacing t. The specimens strengthened using strips suffered from premature debonding of FRP strips. As the load increased, some of FRP strips deboned from the bottom face of the slab and pulled away from the specimen with the concrete cover. At failure, strips deboned from the slab as the truncated concrete cone was pushed through the slab. Due to the FRP strips covered the zone around the column, the cracks at bottom face of the slabs were invisible.

#### IV. CALCULATED PUNCHING SHEAR CAPACITY

The predicted punching shear capacity of the slabcolumn connections strengthened with FRP was obtained using the analytical model developed by Harajli and Soudki [11]. This model is based on that the punching capacity of slab-column connection increased with the increase in the flexural capacity of the slab. The FRP either EB with studs or EB strips is considered as additional reinforcement in the flexural capacity. For the FRP strengthened slab, the average moment capacity per unite width (m) was derived from the conventional equilibrium requirements for force and moment and the compatibility of strain along the depth of the slab section as follows:

$$m = \rho_{s} f_{y} d^{2} [1 - 0.59 (\rho_{s} \frac{f_{y}}{f_{c}} + \rho_{f} \frac{k_{v} f_{fu} \frac{h}{d}}{f_{c}})] + \rho_{f} k_{v} f_{fu} h^{2} [1 - 0.59 (\rho_{s} \frac{f_{y} \frac{h}{h}}{f_{c}} + \rho_{f} \frac{k_{v} f_{fu}}{f_{c}})] \quad \text{Eq}(1)$$

$$\rho_{s} = \frac{A_{s}}{W_{d}}, \quad \rho_{f} = \frac{A_{frp}}{W_{h}} \qquad \text{Eq}$$
(2)

Where  $\rho_s$  and  $\rho_f$  are the reinforcement ratio of the tension steel of the slap and FRP reinforcement either EB with studs or EB strip respectively; As is the area of the tension steel per slab width w; A<sub>f</sub> is the area of FRP studs or strips; h is the slab height; f<sub>y</sub> is the yield strength of steel reinforcement; fc ' is the cylindrical concrete compressive strength=  $0.8f_{cu}$ ; and Kv is a factor which accounts for the ratio of stress development in FRP studs or strips at ultimate capacity of the specimens to the ultimate strength f<sub>fu</sub> of the studs or strips. According to Canadian standers (CSA-06) [12] . The factor Kv in Eq. (1) estimated as follows:

$$K_{v} = \frac{K_{1}k_{2}L_{e}}{11,900\epsilon_{fu}} \le 0.75 \qquad \text{Eq (3)}$$

In which K1 and K2 are factors which represent for the concrete strength and wrapping method, respectively, and Le is the active bond length, where the bond stress is maintained. The factors are given as:

$$K_1 = \left(\frac{f_c}{27}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
 Eq (4)

$$K_2 = \frac{L_f - 2Le}{L_f}$$
 Eq (5)

$$L_e = \frac{25,350}{(t_f E_f)^{0.58}}$$
 Eq (6)

Where,  $L_f$  is the dimension of the slab in the direction of FRP studs or strips;  $t_f$  is the thickness of FRP strip or the diameter of FRP studs and  $E_f$  is the elasticity modulus of FRP either studs or strip.

This article can be downloaded from here: www.ijaems.com

Slab ID	P <sub>cal</sub> (KN)	Pexp (KN)	$\frac{P_{cal}}{P_{exp}}$
S-S-O-A-G-S	192.19	188.79	1.02
S-S-O-A-C	192.73	195.25	0.99
S-S-O-A-G	189.06	191.49	0.99
S-S-O-A-G*	190.695	220.69	0.86
S-S-O-F-G-S	201.63	240.30	0.84
S-S-O-F-G	194.83	214.29	0.91
S-S-K-A-G-S	195.00	232.49	0.84
S-S-K-A-G	190.41	202.20	0.94
S-S-K-F-G-S	205.08	257.34	0.80
S-S-K-F-G	197.61	227.69	0.87
S-P-O-F-G	188.19	183.10	1.03
S-P-O-F-G*	188.19	202.10	0.93
S-P-O-F-C	191.13	210.0	0.91
S-P-O-F-S	188.48	192.0	0.98
S-P-O-F-S*	188.48	205.10	0.92
Mean			0.92
Standard deviation			0.07

Table 4. Comparison of experimental and predicted results.



Fig.12. Comparison between cracking load, experimental ultimate load and calculated ultimate.

The area of FRP studs or strips was modified by Sharaf, et al. [13] to include the effect of the strengthening schemes, amount and spacing of FRP studs or strips as follows:

$$A_{f} = \sum_{i}^{n} = 1 \frac{n}{\varepsilon} b_{fi} t_{fi}$$
 Eq (7)

$$\xi = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_{fi}}{s_i}}{\eta}$$
 Eq(9)

This article can be downloaded from here: www.ijaems.com

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

In which  $\eta$  is a factor which accounts for the effect of the orientation of FRP studs or strips;  $\theta$  is the orientation of FRP to the slab reinforcement;  $\Delta$  is considered 1 for orthogonal strengthening and 2 for skewed strengthening; and £ is a factor that accounts for the effect of FRP locations relative to the column face, the spacing between FRP studs or strips and the number of FRP studs or strips; b<sub>f</sub> is the width of FRP strip or the diameter of FRP studs; s is the distance from the center of each FRP studs or strips to the column face; and n is the number of FRP studs or strips per slab width.

The flexural capacity of the slab can be estimated from the average moment capacity per unite width (m) using yield line analysis (Elsner and Hognestad [14]) as follows:

$$P_{\text{flex}} = 8m(\frac{1}{1-r_{/w}} - 3 + 2\sqrt{2})$$
 Eq(10)

Where r is the width of a column or the side length of a loaded area. Mowrer and Vanderbilt [25] proposed equation to estimate the punching shear strength (Pu) of a flat slab as follows:

$$P_{\rm u} = \frac{0.8(1+d_{/r})bd\sqrt{f_c'}}{1+(0.433bd\sqrt{f_c'}/P_{\rm flex})}$$
 Eq (11)

In which b is the perimeter of the column or the loaded area. The predicted punching shear capacity for the tested specimens calculated according to Eqs. (1)-(11) were compared with the experimental results as shown in Table 4 and Figure. 12. From comparison, it can be concluded that the proposed analytical model gave slightly underestimate for EB with studs strengthened specimens as the average ratio  $P_{u.calc}/P_{u.exp}$  is 0.92 with a standard deviation of 0.07.

#### V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this study, EB with studs' technique was used to strengthen slab-column connections against punching shear failure. A FRP was installed surrounding the column at the bottom face of the slab. A total of sixteen square slabs with a concentric column were constructed and tested up to failure, one control specimen without strengthening, four specimens strengthened using EB with studs and eleven specimens strengthened using FRP strips externally bonded to the bottom face of the slab. For the considered strengthening techniques, the test variables were the strengthening arrangement relative to the orientation of the slab reinforcement and the strengthening location to the column face. Based on this investigation, the following conclusions may be drawn:

• The ultimate punching capacity was significantly

increased for the specimens strengthened using EB with studs' technique over that strengthened using EB without studs Comparing with the control specimen, the punching capacity increased by 28-48% for specimens strengthened with EB with studs and on the other hand increased by 10-32% for specimens strengthened with EB without studs.

• The stiffness of all strengthened specimens increased in un-cracked stage in the range from 3.6% to 69% than the control specimen. The stiffness in cracked stage was affected by the strengthening technique. Where, comparing with the control specimen the ultimate stiffness increased greatly by 33-58% for specimens strengthened using EB with studs. While the increasing in ultimate stiffness was 0.4-52% for specimen strengthened using EB technique.

• All slabs failed in punching shear mode. The strengthened slabs experienced higher punching capacity compared with the control slab.

• Increasing the amount of FRP strips (number of layer) did not significantly increase the capacity of the slabs.

• Use different types of strengthened material is not effective in increasing punching capacity of the slabs.

• Increasing the size of FRP plates is effective where the specimen strengthened with GFRP plate with size 450 x 450 mm increasing punching capacity 10.4% than GFRP plate with size 350 x 350 mm and the specimen strengthened with steel plate with size 450 x 450 mm increasing punching capacity 6.8% than steel plate with size 350 x 350.

• The calculated punching shear capacities of the tested slabs using the recently developed analytical model, in which the two-way shear is expressed as a function of the flexural strength of the connection, agree well with the experimental results.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. V. Malalanayake, J. P. Gamage and M. L. Silva, "Experimental investigation on enhancing punching shear capacity of flat slabs using CFRP," in 8th International Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction Management (ICSECM2017), Kandy, Sri Lanka, 2017.
- [2] K. Soudki , A. K. El-Sayed and T. Vanzwol, "Strengthening of concrete slab-column connections using CFRP strips," *Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 25-33, 2012.
- [3] H. S. Askar, "Repair of R/C flat plates failing in punching by vertical studs," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 541-550, 2015.
- [4] F. R. Miguel, M. Aurelio and K. Jakob, "Strengthening of flat slabs against punching shear using post-installed shear

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u> ©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

reinforcement," ACI Structural Journal, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 434-442, 2010.

- [5] H. Akhundzada, T. Donchev and D. Petkova, "Strengthening of slab-column connection against punching shear failure with CFRP laminates," *Composite Structures*, pp. 1-19, 21 September 2018.
- [6] S. I. Attia, T. L. Lluís and S. H. E M, "Experimental and analytical investigation into the flexural performance of RC beams with partially and fully bonded NSM FRP bars/strips," *Composite Structure*, vol. 1225, pp. 113-126, 2015.
- [7] S. ZY, W. G and L. YB, "Flexural strengthening of concrete beams with nearsurface-mounted steelfiberreinforced polymer composite bars," *Composites*, pp. 30-42, 2011.
- [8] A. H. Abdel-Kareem, "Punching Strengthening of Concrete Slab-column Connections Using Near Surface Mounted (NSM) Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) Bars," *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1-14, 2019.
- [9] A. H. Abdel-Kareem, A. S. Debaik, M. H. Makhlouf and M. Abdel-Baset, "Shear Strengthening of RC Beams with FRP using (NSM) Technique," *Advances in Research*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1-20, 2019.
- [10] M. Jalali, M. K. Sharbatdar, J. Fei Chen and F. J. Alaee, "Shear strengthening of RC beams using innovative manually made NSM FRP bars," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 36, pp. 990-1000, 2012.
- [11] M. H. Harajli and K. A. Soudki, "Shear Strengthening of Interior Slab–Column Connections Using Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Sheets," *Journal of Composites for Construction*, vol. 7, no. 2, May 2003.
- [12] CSA Committee A23.3, "Design of concrete structure," Mississauga.Ontario,Canada, 2004.
- [13] M. H. Sharaf, K. A. Soudki and M. V. Dusen, "CFRP Strengthening for Punching Shear of Interior Slab–Column Connections," *Journal of Composites for Construction*, vol. 10, no. 5, October 2006.
- [14] Elstner RC and Hognestad E, "Shearing strength of reinforced concrete slabs," 1956.

International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) Peer-Reviewed Journal ISSN: 2454-1311 | Vol-8, Issue-2; Feb, 2022 Journal Home Page: https://ijaems.com/



Article DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaems.82.2

Christian Emmanuel Bencalo<sup>1</sup>, Rosalie D. Dela Cruz<sup>2</sup>, Debbie Rose Violago<sup>3</sup>, Merlin B. Magtalas<sup>4</sup>, Catherine S. Aquino<sup>5</sup>, Mercedes D. Santos, Ph.D.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Data Encoder, NIA UPRIIS

<sup>2</sup>AECC General Manager, ASKI Group of Companies (AGC) Inc.
 <sup>3</sup>Compliance Officer, Alalay sa Kaunlaran (ASKI) Mutual Benefit Association, Inc.
 <sup>4</sup>Teacher III, Honorato C. Perez, Sr. Memorial Science High School, Department of Education, School Division of Cabanatuan City
 <sup>5</sup>Teacher II, Cristina David Pascual National High School, Department of Education, School Division of Gapan City
 <sup>6</sup>Business Administration Department, NEUST

Received: 19 Dec 2021; Received in revised form: 10 Feb 2022; Accepted: 20 Feb 2022; Available online: 28 Feb 2022

Abstract— This study described the profitability of the National Irrigation Administration- Upper Pampanga River Integrated Irrigation Systems NIA-UPRIIS' newly inaugurated solar-powered pumps in the Philippines. The researchers utilized the descriptive research design using the questionnaire technique to gather the necessary information. Engineers from NIA-UPRIIS Division IV were the respondents of the study. The researchers discovered that the project was profitable with cumulative estimated savings of Php 277,028,649.18. Moreover, the project resulted in reduced noise pollution which would have been generated by diesel fuel-fed generators. This has also reduced the gas emission from using the conventional method. The researchers suggest that more solar-powered projects be installed by the said agency in all its irrigation projects as this would result in big savings, while sparing some funds which can be used for other purposes.

Keywords—National Irrigation Administration, solar-powered pumps efficiency, savings, profitability.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The National Irrigation Administration (NIA) is a government-owned and controlled corporation primarily responsible for irrigation development and management. "It was created under Republic Act (RA) 3601 on 22 June 1963. Its charter was amended by Presidential Decree (PD) 552 on 11 September 1974 and PD 1702 on 17 July 1980. Both increased the capitalization and broadened the authority of the Agency".

Upper Pampanga River Integrated Irrigation Systems (UPRIIS) is one of the government agencies under the National Irrigation Administration with the main base of operations located at Maharlika Highway, Cabanatuan City, Nueva Ecija, Philippines. It all started in May 1969 when Republic Act 5499 or the Upper Pampanga River This article can be downloaded from here: www.ijaems.com Project Act was approved by the Philippine Congress. The act authorized the construction of the Pantabangan Dam and its related structures and facilities and the approval of local counterpart funds. The Upper Pampanga River Project became officially known as the Upper Pampanga River Integrated Irrigation Systems. The provinces covered by UPRIIS consist mostly of the whole Nueva Ecija Province and parts of Pampanga, Bulacan, and Tarlac. As of Calendar Year 2015, UPRIIS serves 119,216.49 hectares which comprise of 4 Provinces, 23 Municipalities, 5 Cities, and 452 Barangay. The main source of water supply for UPRIIS is the Pantabangan Dam with a reservoir area of 8,420 hectares. A total of about 3 billion cubic meters of water can be stored in the reservoir. Aside from providing irrigation and flood control, the Pantabangan Dam also generates electricity that supplies power to the different provinces of the region through the Pantabangan and Masiway hydroelectric power plants.

However, with the prediction of fossil fuel depletion, many alternative sources of energy have been in development for the past few years were considered by NIA-UPRIIS. One such alternative energy source is solar energy. With it being harnessed from the sun it is renewable, free from the worry of future depletion and since it does not result in the release of harmful gases into the atmosphere, it is also environmentally friendly. Hence, NIA-UPRIIS has inaugurated solar-powered pumps into their irrigation system in July of 2021.

They have built two new solar powered pump projects in San Isidro and Penaranda, Nueva Ecija. "The Peñaranda Solar Powered Pump Irrigation Project, whose main water source comes from the Penaranda Dam through PENRIS Main Canal, is a P20.461-M project that is expected to provide reliable and proficient irrigation water supply in its 228.878-hectare service area located in Barangays Poblacion I, Poblacion II, Santo Tomas in Penaranda, and Pambuan, Gapan City, benefitting a total of 114 farmer members of Penaranda IA. The San Isidro Solar Powered Pump Project, on the other hand, is situated in Brgy. Pulo, San Isidro, Nueva Ecija. With a total project cost of PI 7,780.519.47, this project will benefit 159 farmerbeneficiaries and their families in 323.2762-hectare agricultural lands, covering the Barangays Pulo and Mangga in San Isidro, Nueva Ecija. Its main water source is Malimba Creek" (Nocum, 2021). With this transition to solar energy, the researchers as Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology Master of Business Administration students explore the profitability and feasibility of the two NIA-UPRIIS' newly-inaugurated solar-powered pumps. The findings of this study aims to compare the use of solar-powered pump as against the conventional diesel fuel-fed pump in terms of installation and maintenance costs.

#### II. METHODOLOGY

The researchers utilized the descriptive research design using the survey questionnaire to gather the necessary information. According to Dr. Y.P. Aggarwal (2008) as cited by Balaria (2012) and Garcia & Subia (2019) "descriptive research is devoted to the gathering of information about prevailing conditions or situations for the purpose of description and interpretation". Hence, this study described the profitability of NIA-UPRIIS' newly inaugurated solar-powered pumps, the costs associated with this project included. Engineers from NIA-UPRIIS Division IV served as respondents of the study. The contents of the instrument consisted of the costs of operating and maintaining the solar-powered pumps as well as their benefits and drawbacks. These data were needed to validate the claims made by those interviewed. Documentary evidence such as financial statements were also requested from the concerned offices.

#### Project Specifications for the San Isidro and Peñaranda, Nueva Ecija, Philippines Solar-Powered Pumps

Project Title	Improvement of Irrigation Facilities			
Location Brgy. Pulo, San Isidro, Nueva Ecija				
Contract Description	PENRIS/Construction of Solar Powered Pump @ San Isidro			
Water Source	Malimba Creek			
Barangay Covered	Barangays Pulo and Mangga, San Isidro, Nueva Ecija			
Service Area	323.2762 ha			
No. of Farmer Beneficiaries	159 farmers			
Total Project Cost	₱17,780,519.47			
	Site 1			
Coordinates of Pump Site	Latitude: 15.232653 <sup>0</sup>			
Coordinates of 1 dimp Site	Longitude: 120.936101 <sup>0</sup>			
	Site 2			

 Table 1. Construction of Solar-Powered Pump San Isidro Project Profile

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

	Latitude: 15.22797 <sup>0</sup>
	Longitude: 120.92979 <sup>0</sup>
Nature of Project	Water Pumping using Renewable Energy
Irrigation Facilities	1-Sub Lateral
Irrigation Association	Malimba-Bantog-Manggahan IA
Length of Canal	
Lateral D-6a	3+964-6+000 (2.036 KM)
Technical Specifications	
Solar Panel	90,390 watts of system capacity
No. of Solar Panels	228 pieces of 1m x 2m solar panel frame (395 watts each)
Orientation of Solar Panels	11 <sup>0</sup> inclination facing south
	Type: Monocrystalline Silicone
	Power Rating: 85w/18w
Solar Panel Specifications	Features: Dust or Sandstorm proof
	Lifetime: 25 years
	Warranty: 10years

Table 2. Construction of Solar-Powered Pump Peñaranda Project Profile

Project Title	Improvement of Irrigation Facilities			
Location	Brgy. San Josef, Peñaranda, Nueva Ecija			
Contract Description	PENRIS/Construction of Solar Powered Pump @ Peñaranda			
Water Source	Peñaranda Dam through PENRIS Main Canal			
Barangay Covered	Barangays Poblacion I, Poblacion II, Santo Tomas in Peñaranda and Pambuan in Gapan City, Nueva Ecija			
Service Area	228.878 ha			
No. of Farmer Beneficiaries	114 farmers			
Total Project Cost	₱20,461,285.99			
Coordinates of Pump Site	Latitude: 15.345400 <sup>0</sup> Longitude: 121.000766 <sup>0</sup>			
Nature of Project	Water Pumping using Renewable Energy (Solar)			
Irrigation Facilities	1-Lateral Canal 1-Sub Lateral			
Irrigation Association	Peñaranda IA			
Length of Canal				
Lateral A	0+000-2+577 (2.577 KM)			
Lateral A-1	0+000-1+866 (1.866 KM)			
Technical Specifications				
Solar Panel	90,390 watts of system capacity			

228 pieces of 1m x 2m solar panel frame (395 watts each)			
11 <sup>0</sup> inclination facing south			
Type: Monocrystalline Silicone			
Power Rating: 85w/18w			
Features: Dust or Sandstorm proof			
Lifetime: 25 years			
Warranty: 10years			

#### • III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the gathered data, the service area of the Peñaranda solar-powered pump covers 228.878 hectares which is equivalent to 926,236.40 square meters, whereas the San Isidro solar-powered pump's service area is 323.2762 hectares that can be translated to 1,308,252.37 square meters. The total service area for the two pumps is 2,234,488,77 square meters. Each of the solar power pump installations can provide 90,390 watts or a combined capacity of 180,780 watts or 180.78 kW that can be considered adequate for the irrigation service area.

The two solar-powered pump projects have a total cost of Php 38,241,805.46 broken down as Php 20,461,285.99 for the Peñaranda project and Php 17,780,519.47 for the San Isidro project. A quick search on Google for a 225kva (equal to 180kw) diesel-fueled generator shows a result from Alibaba.com for one has a price of Php 661,720.10. Two generators will be required as there are two service areas to be inaugurated, thus bringing the amount to Php 1,323,440.20 (Twice the mentioned amount of 661,720.10)

"Diesel generators can last for at least **15,000 hours to a maximum of 50,000 hours** before needing a maintenance. Ultimately, the life expectancy of a generator will depend on factors such as generator sizing and preventive maintenance practices" (The life Expectancy of Your Diesel Generator, 2020). The above data point that the general average life of a diesel generator is **32,500 hours** (average of 15,000 hours and 50,000 hours). In comparison, the total number of hours in 25 years, the useful life of the solar power generators is approximately **219,000 hours** (24 hours a day, 365 days a year, for 25 years, excluding additional one day during leap year). This means that the diesel- powered generators would require major servicing 6 times, rounded from 5.74 times ((219,000/32,500)-1), within the span of 25 years.

"The average operations and maintenance cost for diesel reciprocating engine-driven generators is **\$0.005-\$0.010 per kWh**, according to the GTI. For natural gas generators, the average cost is \$0.007-\$0.015 per kWh"

(Onsite Options, 2002). Using a conversion ratio of Php 50 to a dollar at the current rate, this can be translated to Php 0.375 per kilowatt-hour. With a generator of 180 kW capacity, the average maintenance cost is Php **12,187** each time (average of 0.25-0.50\* 32,500 hours of useful life) before needing servicing. Since the major servicing is expected to occur 6 times within 25 years, the cumulative cost amounts to Php **73,125**.

With the assumption that the diesel-fed generator would run all day, as the solar power pumps would do, the total fuel volume for 25 years would amount to 1,823,175 gallons (219,000 hours with 16.7 gallons used per hour). "Diesel prices: We show prices for the Philippines from 09-Aug-2021 to 15-Nov-2021. The average value for the Philippines during that period was Php 45.52 with a minimum of 41.35 Philippine Peso on 27-Sep-2021 and a maximum of 52.27 Philippine Peso on 15Nov-2021. For comparison, the average price of diesel in the world for this period is 75.51 Philippine Peso" (Philippine Diesel Prices, 2021). Global Petrol Prices.com presents Diesel prices in the Philippines to be 45.52 pesos per liter. A gallon is approximately equal to 3.79 liters. Thus, 1,823, 175 gallons is equivalent to 6,909,833.25 liters. The total fuel cost for the next 25 years will be Php 314,535,609.54

	Initial Cost (Php)	Subsequent Fuel Cost (Php)	Overhaul Cost (Php)	Cumulative maintenance cost for 25 years (Php)
Solar Power Pump	38,241,805.46	-	-	-
Diesel Powered Pump	1,323,440.20	314,535,609.54	73,125.00	315,932,174.74
Difference				277,028,649.18

Table 3. Cost-Benefit Analysis Between Solar-Powered and Diesel-Powered Pumps

In comparison, solar-powered pump can be considered more efficient and cost-effective compared to dieselpowered pump. The figure does not include other costs for implementing a diesel-powered pump irrigation system, such as the irrigation lines and laterals, only making projections on the generator price, repair and fuel costs. Although the cost in installing solar-powered pump can be 29 times higher than diesel-powered pump, long term considerations in maintenance cost can save the Philippine government some Php 277,028,649.18.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the study, the researchers concluded that the use of solar-powered pump is more efficient and cost-effective than the use of diesel-powered pump. It is also estimated a savings of approximately Php 277,028,649.18. Furthermore, solar-powered pump reduces noise pollution and eliminates the harmful effects of gas emission from diesel fuel-fed generators. The researchers recommend that more solar-powered pumps be installed by the agency for all its irrigation projects as this would result in big savings in the long term for the Philippine government.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Approximate Diesel Fuel Consumption Chart. Generator Source.
- [2] https://www.generatorsource.com/Diesel\_FuelConsumptio n.aspx?fbclid=lwAROpojEZiKIWJ-Pcbi17r-KuLZCeSDd519J2qS3AwaTG8s5A4ZjkaTZMQc
- [3] Are Solar Panels Worth It in 2021? (2021, January 4). Unbound Solar. https://unboundsolar.com/blog/are-solarpanels-worth-it
- [4] Benefits of Solar Energy. (2018, May 27). Solaren Power Philippines. https://solaren-power.com/benefits-of-solarenergy-solar-panels-philippines/
- [5] Garcia, Mary Grace G., and Subia, Gener, S. (2019). High School Athletes: Their Motivation, Study Habits, Selfdiscipline, and Academic Performance. International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health 2019; 6(1): 86–90.

- [6] Jiangsu Jianghao Factory Diesel Generator 225kva for Sale. Alibaba.com. https://www.alibaba.com/productdetail/Jiangsu-Jianghao-factory-dieselgenerator-225 62377245847.html?mark=google\_shopping&seo=1
- [7] Nocum, EA. (2021, July 27). NIA INAUGURATES TWO NEWLY COMPLETED SOLAR-POWERED PUMP IRRIGATION PROJECTS INNUEVA ECIJA. NIA-UPRIIS Website. http://upriis.nia.gov.ph/?q=content/niainaugurates-two-newly-completedsolar-powered-pumpirrigation-projects-nueva-ecija
- [8] Onsite Options (2002, March 1). Facilitiesnet. https://www.facilitiesnet.com/powercommunication/article/ Onsite-Options-1679.
- [9] Philippines Diesel prices, 15-Nov-2021.
   GlobalPetrolPrices.com.
   https://www.globalpetrolprices.com/Philippines/diesel\_prices/
- [10] Salaria N. Meaning of the Term-Descriptive Survey
- [11] Research Method. International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health Transformations in Business Management. (IJTBM), 2012, 1(6).
- [12] The Beneits of Solar Water Pumps Systems. Advanced Power Inc. https://solarpumps.com/articles/2018/thebenefits-of-solar-water-pump systems?fbclid=IwAR2Nhyj822MiTXjTJNQ5DhoXfw37g usi9qigJfXxGFIvTH3g2ppxBSJr2\_g
- [13] The Life Expectancy of Your Diesel Generator (2020, August 21). ReactPower Solutions. https://www.reactpower.corwblog/the-lifeexpectancyof-your-diesel-generator/

This article can be downloaded from here: <u>www.ijaems.com</u>

©2022 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/