Carrying Capacity for *Pinctada maxima* (Jameson 1901) Farming in Sathean Bay, Southeast Maluku Based on Nitrogen and Phosphate Balance
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Abstract—Sathean Bay is a small, semi-enclosed waters which have been utilized as an area for pearl oyster farming using floating long line method. The growth of pearl oysters are affected by the availability of food (phytoplankton) in the waters. The phytoplankton own self is highly affected by N and P in the waters. This study aims to assess the carrying capacity of the Sathean Bay for pearl oysters farming for three different size group pearl oyster based on the nutrient balance which was the ratio between N and P are available in the waters and in the pearl oyster tissue. The study was conducted from April to September 2016 in nine sampling sites of Sathean Bay, Southeast Maluku. The result showed the smallest group size of pearl oyster (5 ± 2 cm) had the highest carrying capacity among the other two size group (13 ± 2 cm, 18 ± 2 cm). The carrying capacity of pearl oyster based nitrogen level (DIN) in the waters was always greater than the carrying capacity based on DIP level for all size groups of pearl oyster.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sathean bay is a small, semi-enclosed waters with an average depth of 22 m and an average area of 382 ha. The bay have been utilized as an area of fisheries, pearl oyster culture, and seaweeds culture (Tomatala 2011; Erbabley & Kelabora 2014; Ngamel 2012). Pearl oyster culture activities in the bay was carried out using single rope floating (floating long line). Types of cultivated pearl oyster is *Pinctada maxima* (Jameson 1901) or commercially known as white south sea pearls. Oyster culture using floating long line method is usually built in waters with a depth of 10-30 m (Sutaman 1993). Based on observations, the current long line number are 36 pieces, but can vary according to the needs and availability of seed pearl oysters. Pearl oyster cultured using a single rope system floating (floating long line) have an impact on the dynamics and processes of aquatic ecosystems. Some of them are, controlling the dynamics of suspended particles, including phytoplankton (Zhou et al. 2006; Tweddle et al. 2005), to divert material suspended from the water column to the food webs benthic through biodeposit feces and pseudofeces (Newell et al. 2005; Newell 2004; Chapelle et al. 2000), and change the dynamics of nutrient waters (Cranford et al. 2003; Newell 2004; Newell et al. 2005; Nizzoli et al. 2005; Metzger et al. 2007).

A low concentration of nutrients in the water column has negative effect on the growth and development of phytoplankton (Damar 2003). On the other hand, the growth of oyster including pearl oysters are affected by the availability of food in the waters, i.e phytoplankton (Anwar et al. 2005; Pouvreau et al. 2000; Yukihi ra et al. 1999; Rice 2008; Newell et al. 2005; Loret et al. 2000; Winanto 2009; Syda Rao et al. 2009; Hashimoto et al. 2007). Thus, the loss of N and P from the water column have a negative impact on the growth of the pearl oysters, as well as excessive amounts of pearl oysters cause a reduction of N and P of waters through phytoplankton.

The negative impact of the decline of N and P through phytoplankton can be prevented by limiting the loss of N and P of waters by limiting and controlling the number of long line oyster pearls used. Restriction and control is conducted by determining the carrying capacity of the waters. Kaiser & Beadman (2002) defined carrying capacity as the maximum production potential of a species or population that can be supported by related resource availability. Estimation of the carrying capacity of oyster based on resource availability is generally approximated using the availability of phytoplankton or particulate organic matter (POM) in the waters which then compared...
with the needs of oysters (Carver & Mallet 1990; Karayücel & Karayücel 1998; Penney et al. 2001).

This study aims to assess the carrying capacity of the Sathean Bay on determining the number of long line and pearl oysters that can be accommodated in a sustainable manner. Carrying capacity was estimated based on the nutrient balance which is the ratio between N and P are available in the waters and in the pearl oyster tissue.

II. METHODS

Study site

The study took place in the Sathean Bay, Southeast Maluku, and the study was conducted from April to September 2016 (Figure 1). Water quality parameters measurement and water sampling for nutrient analysis was performed on nine stations. Stations were selected by considering the depth, broad of the bay, and the pearl oysters farming locations. Water quality parameters measured include temperature, salinity, current velocity, pH, and dissolved oxygen. Data obtained by measuring the depth of the bay using a fish finder, while the extents area of the bay was obtained through GIS analysis using Arc GIS software version 10.1. Data of the waters depth and extents of the bay were intended to obtain the waters volume of the bay. Dissolved inorganic nutrients analyzed including nitrate-nitrogen (NO$_3$-N, mgL$^{-1}$), nitrite-nitrogen (NO$_2$-N, mgL$^{-1}$), ammonium-nitrogen (NH$_4$-N, mg L$^{-1}$), and orthophosphate (PO$_4$-P, mgL$^{-1}$). Water sampling, preservation, transportation, and sample analysis were performed by standard methods from Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA 2005).

Pearl oyster used in the study were grouped into three size classes of shell length (Dorso Ventral, DV), i.e. 5 ± 2 cm, 13 ± 2 cm, and 18 ± 2 cm. The oysters were obtained from Sathean Bay. All of the pearl oyster shell used were cleaned from the attacks organisms, the pearl oyster weight (BW, g) was measured using digital scaleto the nearest 0.1 g, then the total shell length (mm), total shell width (mm), and the shell thickness (mm) was measured using caliper and ruler. Thirteen pearl oysters with size range 3 cm to 18 cm of a shell height were collected for the meat (DW, g) and shell (DW, g) dry weight analysis which was dried at 105$^\circ$ C for 24 hours. In addition, others supporting data were also collected such as the total number of pearl oyster and number of pearl oyster per basket in the current culture, the number of baskets per long line, long line length, the distance between long line, and the growth of pearl oysters.

Data Analysis

Estimation of the DIN and DIP needs of pearl oyster

Prediction of TN and TP levels in pearl oyster using a value which was defined by Gifford et al. (2005), namely, the nitrogen content in meat and shells of pearl oyster were g$^{-1}$DW 9.82% and 0.39% g$^{-1}$DW. Phosphorus levels in meat and shells of pearl oyster are g$^{-1}$DW 0.74% and 0.03% g$^{-1}$DW, respectively. Referring to these values, the levels of N and P per individual of each group size of pearl oyster is calculated as follows:

\[ TN_{im} = (A \times 9.82\%) + (B \times 0.39\%) \]
\[ TP_{im} = (A \times 0.74\%) + (B \times 0.03\%) \]

Where:

- $TN_{im}$ and $TP_{im}$ = N and P needs of pearl oyster according to group sizes (g)
- A and B = dry weight of the meat and shell pearl oyster of one individual of each class sizes (g)
- 9.82% and 0.39% = fraction of N and P content per g DW pearl oyster meat
- 0.74% and 0.03% = fraction of N and P content per g DW pearl oyster shell

Forms of nutrients that were used in this analysis were DIN and DIP. Pearl oyster nutrient levels in the form of TN and TP (gg$^{-1}$DW) need to be converted to the form of DIN and DIP. To convert TN and TP to DIN and DIP we used Diego-McGlone et al. (2000) approach, where DIN = 0.38 x TN and DIP = 0.5 x TP. DIN is the summation of nitrogen form NH$_4$, NO$_2$ and NO$_3$. Whereas DIP consisting only of PO$_4$. DIN$_{im}$ and DIN$_{im}$ value were pearl oyster parameters nutrient needs which was used in assessing the carrying
capacity of the waters for the development of pearl oyster culture in this approach.

**Estimation of availability of DIN and DIP in Waters**

To determine the availability of dissolved inorganic N and P in the waters of the bay, we need the data of the concentration of N (NH$_4$-N, NO$_3$-N, NO$_2$-N) and P (PO$_4$-P) in water (N$_{\text{water}}$ and P$_{\text{water}}$) and the volume of waters bay (V$_o$). N$_{\text{water}}$ and P$_{\text{water}}$ value were obtained from the analysis of water samples in the laboratory, which produce unit mgL$^{-1}$. This unit needs to be converted to gL$^{-1}$ to facilitate further calculations. By applying the precautionary principle in order to avoid over-estimation, the value N$_{\text{water}}$ and P$_{\text{water}}$ used in the calculation were the lowest one that measured during the study. Then N$_{\text{water}}$ and P$_{\text{water}}$ value were then converted to DIN$_{\text{water}}$ and DIP$_{\text{water}}$ (Diego-McGlone et al. 2000). Value of DIN$_{\text{water}}$ and DIP$_{\text{water}}$ in total over the whole bay waters were calculated by the equation:

DIN$_{\text{water-tot}}$ (g) = N$_{\text{air}}$ • V$_o$ ............................................... (3)

DIP$_{\text{water-tot}}$ (g) = P$_{\text{air}}$ • V$_o$ ............................................... (4)

Where:

DIN$_{\text{water-tot}}$ & DIP$_{\text{water-tot}}$ = the total amount of N and P in the waters of the bay (g)

DIN$_{\text{water}}$ & DIP$_{\text{water}}$ = N and P concentrations in water samples (gL$^{-1}$)

V$_o$= total volume waters of the bay (L)

The volume of water in the bay (V$_o$, m$^3$) was calculated according to the formula Beveridge (2004):

V$_o$ = A • D ......................................................... (5)

Where:

V$_o$ = waters volume of the bay (m$^3$)

A = the surface area of the bay (m$^2$)

D = The average depth of the bay (m)

V$_o$ unit converted to liters by multiplying 10$^{-3}$. The surface area of the bay was calculated using GIS. The average depth of the bay waters known through bathymetric measurements.

However, not all DIN$_{\text{water-tot}}$ and DIP$_{\text{water-tot}}$ taken by pearl oysters. Based on research Gifford et al. (2005), the amount of nutrients flowing into the body of the pearl oyster is only approximately 2.0% N and 0.2% P of N and P in the waters. This figures were used as a correction factor retrieval capability of N and P by pearl oyster of N and P which are available in the waters. Thus, DIN and DIP which are available in total over the bay waters that can be used by the oyster was:

DIN$_{\text{available}}$ (g) = DIN$_{\text{water-tot}}$ • 2.0% ............................................ (6)

DIP$_{\text{available}}$ (g) = DIP$_{\text{water-tot}}$ • 0.2% ............................................ (7)

Where:

DIN$_{\text{available}}$ & DIP$_{\text{available}}$ = DIN and DIP which available for oysters (g)

DIN$_{\text{water-tot}}$ & DIP$_{\text{water-tot}}$ = total availability of DIN and DIP in the waters of the bay (g)

2.0% and 0.2% = retrieval capability of N and P by pearl oyster from the waters.

DIN$_{\text{available}}$ and DIP$_{\text{available}}$ are the value of nutrient availability parameter that will be used in the estimation of the carrying capacity of the nutrient balance approach developed in this study.

**Analysis of Carrying Capacity for pearl oysters culture**

Estimation of the carrying capacity of sustainable oyster pearl culture based on nutrient (N and P) balance was performed separately for each group size as follows:

DDL$_{\text{tm}}$DIN = DIN$_{\text{available}}$ / DIN$_{\text{tm}}$ ........................................... (8)

DDL$_{\text{tm}}$DIP = DIP$_{\text{available}}$ / DIP$_{\text{tm}}$ ........................................... (9)

Where:

DDL$_{\text{tm}}$DIN & DDL$_{\text{tm}}$DIP = Sustainable carrying capacity of pearl oysters based on DIN and DIP according to the size (number of individual)

DIN$_{\text{available}}$ & DIP$_{\text{available}}$ = DIN and DIP which are available for oysters (g)

DIN$_{\text{tm}}$ & DIP$_{\text{tm}}$ = DIN and DIP oysters needs according to the size (g)

Then, if each one long line consisting of E (individual) pearl oyster according group sizes, the numbers of long line possible are:

nL = DDL$_{\text{tm}}$/E ......................................................... (10)

and the effective broad water area (ha) that can be used as a pearl oyster farming using long line method is:

waters area (ha) = nL • 1 unit size / 10,000 ...................... (11)

by nL is the number of long line permitted, and 10,000 are conversion value into hectares (ha).
III. RESULT

Waters volume of Sathean Bay

Tides Type in the Sathean Bay was semi diurnal with the maximum daily tidal differences was 2.6 m (Figure 2). The surface area and volume of the bay waters at various water level was shown in Table 1.

![Figure 2: Type and duration of the tides in the Sathean bay measured at spring tide](image)

### Table 1: Volume of Sathean Bay waters at different water level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tides</th>
<th>Tidal range (m)</th>
<th>MSL water level (m)</th>
<th>Surface area of the bay (m$^2$)</th>
<th>Waters volume of the bay (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHWS</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3,758,132</td>
<td>84,951.099,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLWS</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>3,470,249</td>
<td>75,179,956.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.051.165</td>
<td>80.051.164.940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MHWS: Mean High Water Spring
MLWS: Mean Low Water Spring

N and P Needs of pearl oyster

Dry weight (DW) of the meat and shell of pearl oyster from the three size groups were listed in Table 2, while the levels of DIN and DIP were shown in Table 3.

### Table 2: The mean and percentage of dry weight of the pearl oyster according to group sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Size groups</th>
<th>height (cm)</th>
<th>wide (cm)</th>
<th>Total wet weight (g)</th>
<th>Meat wet weight (g)</th>
<th>Meat dry weight (g)</th>
<th>Shell wet weight (g)</th>
<th>Shell dry weight (g)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13±2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>253.5</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>207.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18±2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>657.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>571.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: The mean levels of DIN and DIP (gg$^{-1}$DW) of meat and shell of pearl oysters in the Sathean Bay in various group sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Size groups</th>
<th>Meat (g)</th>
<th>Shell (g)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>DIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13±2</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18±2</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Availability of DIN and DIP in Water
Minimal concentration of DIN and DIP in the waters was presented in Table 4, while Table 5 contained the maximum number of DIN and DIP which were available for pearl oysters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NH₄</td>
<td>mgL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>mgL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NO₃</td>
<td>mgL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PO₄</td>
<td>mgL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>mgL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>mgL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Nutrient type</th>
<th>Minimal concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Waters volume (L)</th>
<th>Total nutrient in water (g)</th>
<th>Available nutrient (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>80.128.050.840</td>
<td>5.934.216</td>
<td>118.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>80.128.050.840</td>
<td>240.384</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carrying capacity of pearl oysters farming**

Number of pearl oysters that can be accommodated in Sathean Bay waters according to group sizes and types of nutrients was shown in Table 6. Number of long line of pearl oyster and effective waters area for pearl oysters farming were presented in Table 7 and Table 8.

![Table 6: Carrying capacity of the Sathean Bay waters for pearl oyster farming based on nutrient availability approach](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Size group (cm)</th>
<th>Available nutrient (g)</th>
<th>Nutrient needs of oyster(g)</th>
<th>DDL_TM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>DIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>118.684,3</td>
<td>6.410,2</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13±2</td>
<td>118.684,3</td>
<td>6.410,2</td>
<td>0.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18±2</td>
<td>118.684,3</td>
<td>6.410,2</td>
<td>1.509</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DDL_TM = Carrying capacity for pearl oyster in number of pearl oyster (individual)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Size group</th>
<th>Number of oyster/long line</th>
<th>Number of long line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>DIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>4.800</td>
<td>1.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13±2</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18±2</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Size group</th>
<th>Number of long line (ha)</th>
<th>Broad of 1 unit pearl oyster culture</th>
<th>Total area (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>DIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13±2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18±2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. DISCUSSION**

**Hidromorphology bay and Water Quality Parameters**

Sathean bay is a small bay, semi-enclosed, yet deep enough. Waters inside the bay is connected with the waters outside...
the bay through two doors, the first located in the northern part of the bay, the second door on the eastern side of the bay. At low tide, the second door was completely dry. Thus the exchange of water was much more smoothly on the first door. Tides type of bay was semi diurnal with the tide range was 2.60 m. Average depth of the bay was 21.66 m, the surface area of 347.02 ha bay at low tide, while the high tide was 375.81 ha. At high tide the water volume amounted to 84,936,736,540 L and at low tide was 75,165,593,340 L. Water quality parameters such as temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and current velocity observed were not greatly fluctuated both among stations and month (Table 2). This happens allegedly due to the time of the observations were only conducted in the transition season and in the east monsoon, so that the effect of seasonal changes was not detected. Despite the bay are also relatively small, and the fresh water input through the river are absent. This condition causes the waters of the bay is in relatively homogeneous condition. All the parameters of the water quality does not vary much with the results of research from elsewhere (Hamzah & Nababan 2011; Gerwis & Sims, 1992; Anwar 2005; Winanto et al. 2009). Overall the quality parameter Sathean Gulf waters are still suitable for the life and growth of pearl oysters (Winanto 2009).

Levels of N and P in pearl oysters and Bodies

The percentage of fresh weight and dry weight of meat and shell pearl oyster of third size group does not vary much (Table 2). Meat percentage wet weight of total body weight size group of 18 ± 2 cm smaller (13.1%) compared two groups of other sizes (18.0 and 18.1%). Percentage of dry weight of the meat on a size group of 5 ± 2 cm relatively smaller than the two larger size. The percentage weight of the wet shell was greater in size group of 18 ± 2 cm from the two groups of other sizes. Percentage of the shell dry weight was relatively similar between the two groups of smaller but less than size group of 18 ± 2 cm. Both levels of DIN and DIP were higher in meat than in the shell. In the pearl oyster meat, DIN and DIP highest levels found in oysters the size of 18 ± 2 cm and the smallest class size of 5 ± 2 cm (Table 3). The same pattern also applies to DIN and DIP in pearl oyster shells. This is reasonable considering the percentage of N and P per gram dry weight relatively constant, but the weight of individual increases with increasing height dimension. Thus the real value will depend on the dry weight of the pearl oyster.

Minimal concentration of NH₄, NO₃, NO₂, and PO₄ respectively measured at the station and at different times (Table 4). Minimal concentration of NH₄ (0.048 mgL⁻¹) measured at 4 station in September. The concentration of NO₃ minimal (0.023 mgL⁻¹) detected at station 7 September, NO₂ minimal (0.003 mgL⁻¹) measured at station 3 in April, and PO₄ minimal (0.040 mgL⁻¹) at almost all stations in May and June. Based on the sum of all forms of nitrogen, obtained DIN amounted to 0.074 mgL⁻¹, whereas DIP is only represented by PO₄ was 0.04 mgL⁻¹. The ratio DIN/DIP was 2. The surface area of the bay was varied over high tide and low tide, so the average volume of the low tide and high tide was used in the calculation of total DIN and DIP in the bay waters. Waters volume in high tide was obtained from waters volume in low tide added with the multiplication of daily tidal differences (2.6 m) and surface area in high tide. The amount of DIN available in the waters and ready to be used by the pearl oyster was almost 19 times higher than the amount of DIP. The amount of DIN in the water volume in Secchi depth was 5 928 522 g, which was beyond two times higher than DIP (3 202 047 g) (Table 5). However, the amount of nutrient which actually available in waters and can be utilized by the pearl oyster was 118 570 g fir DIN and 6 404 g for DIP.

Carrying Capacity of Pearl Oysters

The numbers of pearl oysters which can be accommodated in the Sathean Bay waters differ, both based on the nutrient type (DIN and DIP) and among different size group (Table 6). According to nutrient type, the amount of oyster based on DIN (5 055 982 individual) was almost two times higher than the one based on DIP (2 622 023 individual). Based on the size, the two of the larger size group only numbered 4% and 2% respectively of the individual smallest size group.

In general, the number of long line based on DIN level that can be accommodated by Sathean Bay was almost twice higher than DIP-based long line for all group sizes of pearl oysters (Table 7). The number of long line of the smallest group size oyster based on DIN level was 1 053 unit, the second group size maximum number of long line was 89, and the larger group size had the maximum number of long line was 49 unit. Meanwhile, based on DIP, the maximum number of long line from the smallest size group to the largest were 546, 47, and 25 unit respectively.

The total area (ha) needed to accommodate the maximum number of long line was different nearly two times between the calculation based on DIN and DIP (Table 8). Based on DIN level, total area to maintain pearl oyster farming were 507, 43, and 24 ha respectively for the smallest group size oysters to the largest. While based on DIP, total area required for smallest to the largest class sizes of pearl oyster were 273, 23, and 13 ha, respectively. From the data that
has been presented, the larger the size of the pearl oyster, the fewer the number of individuals that was can be accommodated, so the fewer the number of long line and effective land that were used.

Compared to the existing condition, the size of the oysters which had been cultured currently were 11-15 cm oyster size with a total number of 72 000 individuals (77.4% of carrying capacity of 13 ± 2 cm oyster size), 36 long line (77.0% of the carrying capacity) and 18 ha (78.3% of the carrying capacity) of waters area used for oyster culture. Thus, the existing number of individuals oysters, number of long line units, and waters area used for oyster cultured were not exceed the carrying capacity yet. However, it almost reached the calculated carrying capacity based on N and P balance.

**Carrying Capacity of Maintenance Unit Accordance**

**Carrying Capacity of Sathean Bay**

There are differences between the carrying capacity results based on DIN and DIP which the carrying capacity based on the DIN was almost 200% higher than the other one based on DIP. To avoid over-estimation, the lower result based on DIP calculation was used as the carrying capacity for pearl oyster culture in Sathean Bay. The results calculations of the carrying capacity (Table 6, 7, and 8) was conducted on a size group of oysters separately, in other words, not as a size group that was cultured continuously. This result was a reference which number of pearl oyster should not be exceed it. Therefore, if the pearl oyster will be cultured continuously until it reaches the desired size with the greatest number of oyster appropriate the carrying capacity, the number of initial stocking needs to be calculated by taking into account mortality rates.

The mortality rates differ according to size groups of oysters. The oyster with the size group of 3-7 cm was 80%, the size of 7-15 cm by about 30%, and > 15 cm was 30%. If the final number of pearl oysters measuring 18 ± 2 cm is desired in accordance with the carrying capacity based DIP which were 40 573 individual, the initial stocking density of oysters with the size of 3 cm should be as many as 414 010 individual, requires long line as many as 86 units and an area of 43 ha. At the end of the rearing period of this size (7-8 cm) will be left over 82 802 individual. Further cultivation until reach the size of 15 cm will be left as many as 57 961 individual, requires 29 long line and needs waters area of 14 ha. Further cultivation until reach 20 cm in size will be left were 40 573 individuals with long line 25 units and needs an area of 13 ha. Both the number of oysters, long line, and waters area of the oyster size less than 15 cm, have not exceeded carrying capacity of each size according to this result calculation. While pearl oyster size of 20 cm have a corresponding individuals number of carrying capacity planned.

V. CONCLUSION

The current pearl oysters culture in Sathean Bay has not exceeded the carrying capacity based on N and P nutrients yet. However, the existing conditions including number of oysters, long line, and the total are generally has exceed 77% of the carrying capacity. Therefore, the expansion of the pearl oyster culture should be conducted carefully and meticulously.
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