Insta`llation of New CSD (Carbonated Soft Drink) RGB (Returnable Glass Bottle) Line with Food Safety Comply and Final Validation

Ravindra Kumar¹, Dorcus Masih²

¹M.Tech Food Technology (Food Process Engineering), Department of Food Process Engineering, Vaugh School of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Allahabad, UP, India ²Assistant Professor, Department of Food Process Engineering, Vaugh School of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, Allahabad, UP, India

Abstract— The basic of this project was installation of new CSD (carbonated soft drink) RGB (returnable glass bottle) line with comply food safety and final validation of line. New manufacturing line installation deals with installation of all equipment and machine require for smooth running and producing CSD for COCA-COLA company (Coca-Cola, Thums UP, Limca) such as conveyer belt, uncasing machine, light inspection station, bottle washer EBI (electronic bottle inspection) machine, Paramix, Filler, Sealer, Date coding machine, caser etc. During installation of machine we have fulfill requirement related to machine, work space, hygienic condition so that machine can work smoothly and deliver safe food product The main concern of doing this project was deliver a safe product to the consumer by applying HACCP and ISO22K. I have done hazard analysis and validation of this new installed line and identify CCP and OPRP which need to control by applying control measure.

Keywords— Food Safety, Validation, HACCP, CCP, OPRP, CSD, RGB.

I. INTRODUCTION

Coca-Cola (often referred to simply as Coke) is a carbonated soft drink produced by The Coca-Cola Company of Atlanta, Georgia. It was originally intended as a patent medicine when it was invented in the late 19th century by John Pemberton. Coca-Cola was bought out by businessman As a Griggs Candler, whose marketing tactics led Coke to its dominance of the world soft-drink market throughout the 20th century. The name refers to two of its original ingredients: kola nuts, a source of caffeine, and coca leaves. The current formula of Coca-Cola remains a trade secret, although a variety of reported recipes and experimental recreations have been published. The

company produces concentrate, which is then sold to licensed Coca-Cola bottlers throughout the world. The bottlers, who hold exclusive territory contracts with the company, produce the finished product in cans and bottles from the concentrate, in combination with filtered water and sweeteners. A typical 12 oz (355 ml) can contains 38g of sugar (usually in the form of HFCS). The bottlers then sell, distribute and merchandise Coca-Cola to retail stores. and vending machines. The Coca-Cola Company also sells concentrate for soda fountains to major restaurants and food service distributors.(The Atlanta Journal Constitution2013). The Coca-Cola Company has, on occasion, introduced other cola drinks under the Coke brand name. The most common of these is Diet Coke, with others including Caffeine-Free Coca-Cola, Diet Coke Caffeine-Free, Coca-Cola Cherry, Coca-Cola Zero, Coca-Cola Vanilla, and special versions with lemon, lime, or coffee. In 2013, Coke products could be found in over 200 countries worldwide, with consumers downing more than 1.8 billion company beverage servings each day. (Bartow J.

The Coca-Cola Company and its network of bottlers comprise the most sophisticated and pervasive production and distribution system in the world. More than anything, that system is dedicated to people working long and hard to sell the products manufactured by the company. This unique worldwide system has made the Coca-Cola Company the world's premier soft drinks enterprise. From Boston to Beijing, from Montreal to Moscow, Coca-Cola, more than any other consumer products, has brought pleasure to thirsty consumers around the globe. For more than 125 years, Coca-Cola has created a special moments of pleasure for hundreds of millions of people every day. (Richard Gardiner 2015).

www.ijaems.com

Food safety is a scientific discipline describing handling, preparation, and storage of food in ways that prevent foodborne illness. This includes a number of routines that should be followed to avoid potentially severe health hazards. In this way food safety often overlaps with food defense to prevent harm to consumers. The tracks within this line of thought are safety between industry and the market and then between the market and the consumer. In considering industry to market practices, food safety considerations include the origins of food including the practices relating to food labeling, food hygiene, food additives and pesticide residues, as well as policies on biotechnology and food and guidelines for management the of governmental import and export inspection and certification systems for foods. In considering market to consumer practices, the usual thought is that food ought to be safe in the market and the concern is safe delivery and preparation of the food for the consumer. (WHO 2010). Hazard analysis and critical control points or HACCP is a systematic preventive approach to food safety from biological, chemical, and physical hazards in production processes that can cause the finished product to be unsafe, and designs measurements to reduce these risks to a safe level. In this manner, HACCP is referred as the prevention of hazards rather than finished product inspection. The HACCP system can be used at all stages of a food chain, from food production and preparation processes including packaging, distribution, etc. (William at al.2009)

II. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Water treatment plant

Coca-Cola industry has a unique WATER TREATMENT PLANT with specially designed features which fulfill all the requirement of water throughout the plant. The source water can be used to supply three types of water. raw water, treated water, soft water. The Raw Water is used to form Treated water for Beverage and Syrup preparation and Soft Water for Bottle Washer, Boilers, Cooling Coils and other utility cleaning purposes.




Fig.2.1: Multiple Barrier Treatment (MBT)

We use Multiple Barrier Treatment (MBT) for the preparation of TREATED WATER.

Multiple Barrier Treatment provides a "Safety Barrier" & ensure full compliance to IS 14543. The Multiple Barrier Treatment process has an additional role of organics removal including pesticides. The site for the manufacturing plants is finalized only after the source water has been tested for all requirements of potable water. The analysis is always conducted by independent third party accredited laboratories. The source water is then properly protected and re-tested periodically to ensure that it conforms to international standards. The water is then drawn through sealed pipelines into the storage tank placed in secured water treatment areas of the manufacturing plants.

2.2 Syrup Preparation

Sugar taken from the market and analyze to ensure standard quality The sugar taken by the rotary is delivered into the dumping tank The tank contain Treated water at a temperature of 700C (so that sugar dissolves equally without the formation of any lumps) sugar is added into it and the temperature is raised to 850C through steam. This high temperature is maintained so that all the microorganism are killed. Hyflo Powder added at a concentration of 0.25% to remove all the impurities in the sugar. Carbon Powder added at a concentration of 0.35% to absorb all the impurities. At 850C all carbon is activated and all its pores are open to absorb impurities. Contact time at 850C in the dumping tank is half an hour. Then filtered through PFF and then cool at 20-250C and mix desired flavor.

2.3 Proportioning and Filling

Grain less corn cobs (GLCC) was chopped into small pieces (1-2 cm) with sharp knife and collected




Fig.2.2: Proportioner.

Flavoured Syrup and Purified Treated Water is blended with pure Carbon dioxide to produce a carbonated beverage which is then filled into clean bottles. The Syrup and Water are mixed together in the correct ratio at the proportioner to produce the finished beverageAt this stage the final ingredient Carbon- di-oxide is dissolved in the finished beverage at the proportioner Finally the ready beverage enters the clean bottles at the fillerThe filled bottles are sealed at the capper or crowner.

2.4 Hazard analysis criteria & control measure assessment.

HACCP system is science-based and uses a systematic approach to the identification of specific hazards and measures for their control or prevention to ensure the safety of food. The preventive measures must be described in detail and people who have to execute them must be trained. HACCP involves careful recording of all details and actions in order to provide documentation that the system is in operation and in full control of all hazards in food processing. Codex Alimentarius Commission, as guidance, define the 7 principles and 12 steps that must be applied during the development of the HACCP plan and implement **HACCP** The seven principles of HACCP based and to ensure safe and correct in all aspects of food production and processing are:-

- 1. Conduct a hazard analysis.
- 2. Determine the Critical Control Points (CCPs).
- 3. Establish critical limit(s).
- 4. Establish a system to monitor control of the CCP.
- Establish the corrective action to bet taken when monitoring indicates that a particular CCP is not under control.
- 6. Establish producers for verification to confirm that the HACCP system is working effectively.
- 7. Establish documentation concerning all procedures and records appropriate to these principles and their application.

The 7 basic principles are implemented into the system through the 12 steps:

- 1. Assemble HACCP team
- 2. Describe product
- 3. Identify intended use
- 4. Construct flow diagram
- 5. On-site confirmation of flow diagram
- 6. List all potential hazards associated with each step, conduct a hazard analysis, and consider any measures to control identified hazards (Principle 1)
- 7. Determine Critical Control Points (Principles 2)

- 8. Establish critical limits for each CCP (Principle 3)
- 9. Establish a monitoring system for each CCP (Principle 4)
- 10. Establish corrective actions (Principle 5)
- 11. Establish verification procedures (Principle 6)
- 12. Establish Documentation and Record Keeping (Principle 7)

All the identified hazards will be routed through MATRIX A for their probability & severity. Then identify the significance of the hazards as per MATRIX B. All non significant hazards are measured as PRP. The Assessment / Categorization of Control Measures for identified all SIGNIFICANT hazards will be determined as OPRP & CCP through MATRIX C.


MATRIX A

Table.2.1: Categorization of probability and severity

| P | robability | | Severity |
|------------|---|--------------|--|
| High | Could occur Daily or once up to month (Most of Certain to happen) | Critica 1 | Illness/injury leads to death |
| Mediu m | Could occur more than 30days up to a year. | Seriou s | Illness/injury/Sickness leads to prolonged hospitalization |
| Low | Could occur more than a year or no past record of occurrence. | Major | Illness/injury/Sickness leads to hospitalization for a very short period (1-7 days) |
| | | Minor | Mild/Minor Illness/injury/Sickness |

MATRIX B

Table.2.2: Risk of hazard



MATRIX C

Table.2.3: Control Measure Assessment relevant to Significant Hazards

| Probability of Failure of Control Measure (1) | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| High (3) | Often : once in a month | | | | |
| Medium (2) | Occasionally: once in 6 months | | | | |
| <i>Low</i> (1) | Marginal: maybe once in a year | | | | |

| Consequence i | n case of failure of Control Measure (2) | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| High (3) | Definitely affect end product | | | | |
| Medium (2) | May affect end product | | | | |
| Low (1) | Marginal impact on end product not | | | | |
| | affecting into food safety | | | | |
| Feasibility for | Monitoring (3) | | | | |
| High (3) | Continuous | | | | |
| Medium (2) | At defined Frequency | | | | |
| Low (1) | Not Possible to monitor | | | | |
| Impact on haza | Impact on hazard Control (4) | | | | |
| High (3) | (3) Prevent | | | | |
| Medium (2) | Eliminate | | | | |
| Low (1) | Reduce | | | | |
| Is there a succe | essive control measure? (5) | | | | |
| Yes | Add 0 points | | | | |
| No | Add 1 point | | | | |
| Is Control mea | sure specifically designed? (6) | | | | |
| Yes | Add 1 point | | | | |
| No | Add 0 point | | | | |
| Is there Synerg | Is there Synergetic effect with other CM? (7) | | | | |
| Yes | Add 0 point | | | | |
| No | Add 1 point | | | | |

Total score is the addition of individual score of all 7 parameters. If Total score is \leq 9, Control Measure will be managed through OPRP. If Total score is > 9, Control Measure will be managed through CCP (HACCP Plan)

2.5 Quality Validation

All physiochemical analysis carried out as per IS standard such as Chlorine Test, Total Hardness, Calcium Hardness, Total Alkalinity, Chloride Test, Sulfate Test, Turbidity Test, PH Test, Alkalinity test, Methylene Blue Reagent Test, Caustic Carry Over Test, Stabilon Mex Power, Brix, Inverted BRIX, Gas Volume, Crown Crimping.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Installation of new line

There are four main section deal with new lines. Water treatment plant installation. Syrup preparation section. washer or container preparation section. Proportioning and filling. All the machine, tank, conveyer etc. installed successfully as per standard. Soft drink production starts with a pure source of water. Regular soft drinks contain 90 percent water, while diet soft drink may contain up to 99 percent water. Drinking water often includes trace amounts of various elements that effect in taste. Bottlers use filtering and other treatment equipment to remove residual impurities and standardize the water used to make soft drinks, so that soft drinks taste the same nationwide. So the water treatment plant installed to produce standard quality water as per coca- cola standard. Syrup preparation is an important part of soft drink industry. All the equipment utensil such as tank, pipe line, filter press, plate heat exchanger etc. are installed as per Coca-Cola standard. Bottle washing is a section where we prepared packaging material for soft drink packaging. The most important thing in soft drink industry is we use a glass bottle multiple times by cleaning it. So we have to insure the quality and hygienic condition of bottle. By installing bottle washer we are maintain good quality bottle. In bottle washer we have maintain time, temperature, chemical concentration, and mechanical action performance of bottle washer. Flavored Syrup and Purified Treated Water is blended with pure Carbon dioxide to produce a carbonated beverage which is then filled into clean bottles. The Syrup and Water are mixed together in the correct ratio at the proportioner to produce the finished beverage At this stage the final ingredient Carbon- di-oxide is dissolved in the finished beverage at the proportioner Finally the ready beverage enters the clean bottles at the filler. The filled bottles are sealed at the capper or crowner.

3.2 Implementation of Food Safety (HACCP) system on installed line.

After installation of line each and every step are covered through hazard analysis.

Table 3.1 Hazard analysis of process

| Step | | Type | Hazard | | Risk anal | | analysis of p | Control | Justification of | Justification of |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|--|---|---|
| No. | Process | of Hazard | Description | Source | Poba bility | Sever ity | Over all Risk | Measure | Severity | Probability |
| Wate | er Treatm | ent | | | | | | | | |
| | | P | Sand Particals | Storage tank | Low | Minor | non significant | Tiling in side of the Storage tank | Mild effect of Health so the the Severity is rated as MINOR. | Study of internal and external report no Past History of occurrence so the probability is LOW |
| | | С | No Hazard | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Water intake and storage | В | Mesosphilic (Total) Count as indicator" | _ | Medium | Serious | significant | Maintain 3 to 5 residual Cl2 | Illness leads to prolonged Hospitilization. Infectious diseases caused by Mesophilic & pathogenic bacteria, viruses and parasites are the most common and widespread health risk associated with water including typhoid(salmonella sps.), cholera (vibrio cholerae), .Diarrhoea diseases caused by E coli, staphylococcus aureus causes pneumonia& meningities(reference WHO guidelines) Causing illness .i.e. SERIOUS | As per Study of yearly External microbiological reports all parameters found with in specification could occur more than 30 days, So the probability is MEDIUM. |

Table:- 3.2 Selection of control measure

| ST EP | Proc ess Nam e | HAZA RD Ytpe | Cont rol Meas ure | Is contro l measu res Valida ted. Give (Yes /No) | Proba bility of failure of control measur e (1) | Conseq uence in case of failure of Control measure (2) | Feasib ility of monito ring (3) | Imp act of haza rd Cont rol (4) | Is there a Succes sive contro l(5) | Is control measur e specifi cally design (6) | Is there any synerg istic effect with other CM? (7) | Total Score 1+2+3+4+ 5+6+7) | CC P/ OP RP |
|----------|---|--------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Raw Wate r intak e and stora ge | Biolog ical | Main tain 3 to 5 resid ual chlori ne | Yes | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | OP RP |

Table 3.3: FSMS plan for CCP

| CC P | Haz ard | Contr ol Meas ure | Critic al Limit | Action Limit | Target Limit | | Monitoring | | | Correction | Corrective Action |
|----------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | How | When | Wher e | Who | | |
| CC P1 | В: | | 3-5 PPM | 3.5 & 4.5 ppm Chlorin e | 4 ppm chlori ne | PI- SOP- 3.6.2. 2.4. | every Hrs | At Clear Water Tank | Chem ist and WTP operat or | Stop the suuply. Inform to QAM. If less than 3 ppm, then hold the tank and use in backwash and drain the water from ACF to process line. if more than 5ppm,Stop dosing of chlorine and monitor Chlorine till it reaches 5 ppm. | 1. Check the concentratio n of calcium hypochlorit e stock solution & dosing system. 2. Hourly monitoring of dosing volume. |

International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) Infogain Publication (<u>Infogainpublication.com</u>)

| Responsi bility and authority | Records | Action with regard to the product | V | Verification | | | Validation | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|---------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | How | When | Who | How | When | Who | |
| FSTL & QAM | COCA- COLA FORM | Identify & segregate the product manufactured during nonconformance & hold the product for that particular one hour prior and after the non conformance observed, then ensure it meets the microbiological & sensory specification otherwise reject the product | Review water and weekly micro reports and online checking | Quart erly | Verifica tion Team | Micro Tests Valida tion Progra m | At starting and When change in equipment,prod uct or change in line At starting and When change in equipment,prod uct or change in line validation procedure will be made | Validation Team will validate Microbiolo gical tests & records, (Online testing Microbiolo gical test reports Validation Team | |

3.3 Final quality validation

3.3.1 In house Tests Performed Of Treated Water Table.3.1: Analysis report of treated water

| PARAMETER | SPEC. | RESULT | | |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Taste | Nm | Nm | Nm | Nm |
| Appearance | Nm | Nm | Nm | Nm |
| Odor | No off odor | No off odor | No off odor | No off odor |
| M alkalinity | <85mg/L | 40 | 48 | 46 |
| Turbidity | <. 05 NTU | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| PH | 6.5-8.5 | 7.42 | 7.31 | 7.52 |
| Total hardness | <100ppm | 72 | 65 | 78 |
| Total dissolve solid | <500ppm | 152 | 130 | 158 |
| Sulphate | <250ppm | 107.22 | 102.55 | 104.20 |
| Chloride | <250ppm | 54.26 | 56.72 | 56.30 |

| Total Chloride & Sulphate | <400 ppm | 161.48 | 159.27 | 160.50 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Iron | <0.1ppm | .03 | .04 | .03 |

3.2 In house Tests Performed Of syrup.

| PARAMETER | SPEC. | RESULT | | | | |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Taste | Nm | Nm | Nm | Nm | | |
| | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal | | |
| | and | and | and | and | | |
| | free | free | free | free | | |
| Appearance | from | from | from | from | | |
| | any | any | any | any | | |
| | foreign | foreign | foreign | foreign | | |
| | matter. | matter. | matter. | matter. | | |

| Odor | No off | No off | No off | No off |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | odor | odor | odor | odor |
| Brix | As/CCI | ok | ok | Ok |
| Temp | <30oC | 23 | 22 | 22 |

| Odor | No off odor | No off odor | No off odor | No off odor |
|------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Brix | As/CCI | ok | ok | Ok |
| Temp | <30oC | 23 | 22 | 22 |

3.3.3 In house Tests Performed On bottle washer parameter. *Table: 3.3 Analysis report of bottle washer.*

| PARAMETER | SPEC. | RESULT | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|------|------|--|--|
| Caustic % | 2.8+_0.2% | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | | |
| Carbonate % | <0.8 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | | |
| Stabilon Max | 0.2-0.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | | |
| Free Cl2 in final rinse water | 1-3 ppm | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Temp | <30oC | 23 | 22 | 22 | | |

3.3.4 In house Tests Performed On finished product. *Table.3.4: Analysis report of finished product*

| PARAMETER | SPEC. | RESULT | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Taste and Odor (Flavor) | Nm | Nm | Nm | Nm | |
| Appearance | Normal and free from any foreign matter. | Normal and free from any foreign matter. | Normal and free from any foreign matter. | Normal and free from any foreign matter. | |
| Odor | No off odor | No off odor | No off odor | No off odor | |
| Brix | 10.37± 0.15 | 10.35 | 10.40 | 10.38 | |
| Carbonation | 3.75 ± 0.25 | 3.80 | 3.82 | 3.4 | |

IV. CONCLUSION

The entire machine installed are operated successfully as per suppliers manual and found satisfactory. The product produce were found satisfactory in all aspect. For deliver safe product to the consumer HACCP system implemented to remove or eliminate or reduce up to expectable limit of the food safety hazard. Under HACCP System 2 CCP and 4 OPRP determined where we have to more focus to

maintain. For all CCP and OPRP critical limit and control measure are established to control the food safety hazard. The Physico-chemical property of product such as Gas volume (GV), Brix, taste, odor, and appearance found as per Coca-Cola specification.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my deep sense of gratitude to my advisor Er. Dorcus Masih, Assistant professor, Dept. of Food Process Engineering, V. S. A. E. T., SHIATS, Allahabad, India for guiding me from start to success of this project showing keen interest to its final completion.

REFERENCES

- [1] Application of hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) methodology to pharmaceuticals. In: WHO Expert Committee on Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations. Thirty-seventh report.
- [2] Benjamin Jude and Eric Lemaire Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation 401/2005 Packaging of Carbonated Beverages
- [3] Beyza ULUSOY SÖZEN and Canan HECER İstanbul Bilgi University, Health Sciences High School, Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Dolapdere, Beyoğlu, İstanbul, TURKEY.
- [4] Beverage Industry Manual.
- [5] Corazon P. Macachor Cebu Technological University, Main Campus, R. Palma St, Cebu City. Academia Journal of Biotechnology 4(6): 251-253, June 2015DOI: 10.15413/ajb.2015.0263 ISSN 2315-7747
- [6] Elsie Jatto1 and Augustine O. Okhamafe2 An Overview of Pharmaceutical Validation and Process Controls Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, December 2002; 1 (2): 115-122 Available online at http://www.tjpr.freehosting.net
- [7] FAO/WHO; Richard Jackson. "FAO/WHO guidance to governments on the application of HACCP in small and/or less-developed food businesses" (PDF). Retrieved 14 October2007.
- [8] Geneva, World Health Organization, 2003 (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 908), Annex 7.
- [9] Good manufacturing practices for pharmaceutical products. In: WHO Expert Committee on Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations. Thirty-second report.
- [10] Geneva, World Health Organization, 1992 (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 823), Annex 1.
- [11] Good manufacturing practices for pharmaceutical products. In: WHO Expert Committee on Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations. Thirty-second report.

- [12] Geneva, World Health Organization, 2002 (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 902), Annex 6.
- [13] Gardiner, B. (2011, March 21). Beverage Industry Works to Cap Its Water Use. The New York Times. London. Retrieved April 1, 2012, from http://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/22/business/energy-environment/22iht-rbog-beverage-22.html?pagewanted=all
- [14] International basic safety standards for protection against ionizing radiation and for the safety of radiation sources: a safety standard. Vienna, International Atomic Energy Authority, 1996 (Safety Series, No. 115).
- [15] ISO 22000 Series.
- [16] Innocentiagugulethuerdogan treatment of soft drink industry wastewater using an integrated anaerobic/aerobic membrane bioreactor David P. Steen Carbonated Soft Drinks: Formulation and Manufacture.
- [17] Laboratory bio safety manual, 2nd ed. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1993.
- [18] M. Keyvani & N.C. Gardner, "Operating Characteristics of Rotating Beds," Chemical Engineer Progress 85:48 (1989).
- [19] Presented at the 7th International Conference on Process Intensification - Albany, NY
- [20] Copyright 2008 GasTran® Systems. This document is not intended for distribution.
- [21]Partho Ghose and Parameshwaran Nair International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology.ISSN 2249-3050, Volume 4, Number 5 (2013), pp. 421-430 © Research India Publications http://www.ripublication.com/ ijafst.htm
- [22] Philip R. Ashurst 2006 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2DQ, UK
- [23] Quality management for chemical safety testing. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1992 (Environmental Health Criteria, No. 141).
- [24] Quality assurance of pharmaceuticals. A compendium of guidelines and related materials. Volume 2. Good manufacturing practices and inspection. Geneva, World HealthOrganization, 1999.
- [25] Regulations for the safe transport of radioactive material. Vienna, International Atomic Energy Authority, 1996 (IAEA Safety Requirements Safety Standards Series, No. TSR-1, Revised).
- [26] Softdrink 2014. In Encyclopædia Britannica. Inc. Encyclopaedia Britannica Online: http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic552397/soft

- -drink/66705/Carbon-dioxide-and-carbonation [Retrieved March 5. 2014].
- [27] Sander DolderAmanda Hillman Kevin Wooster Viktor PassinskyStrategic Analysis of Water Use and Risk in the Beverage Industry
- [28] The Atlanta Journal-Constitution. Retrieved January 24, 2013.
- [29] www.fao.org/ag/humannutrition/nutritioneducation/697 25/en/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)VialedelleTerme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, ItalyTel. 0039 06 5705 4113Email: ellen.muehlhoff@fao.org
- [30] WHO (1997). HACCP: introducing the hazard analysis and critical control point system WHO/FSF/FOS/97.2. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- [31] WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization. Forty-second report. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1992 (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 822).
- [32] WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization. Fortieth report. Geneva, WorldHealth Organization, 1990 (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 800), Annex 1.
- [33] Yapp C and Fairman R (2006). Factors affecting food safety compliance within small and medium-sized enterprises: implications for regulatory and enforcement strategies. Food Control, 17(1): 42-51.
- [34] WHO QUALITY ASSURANCE OF PHARMACEUTICALS284 (2007).