A New Under-Frequency Load Shedding Method Using the Voltage Electrical Distance and Artificial Neural Networks

Le. Trong. Nghia^{1,*}, Quyen. Huy. Anh², P.T.T. Binh³, Phung. Trieu. Tan⁴

^{1,2,4} Faculty of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, HCMC University of Technology and Education, Hochiminh city, Vietnam

^{3,*}Faculty of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, HCMC University of Technology, Hochiminh city, Vietnam

Abstract—This paper proposes a method for determining location to shed the load in order to recover the frequency back to the allowable range. Prioritize distribution of the load shedding at load bus positions based on the voltage electrical distance between the outage generator and the loads. The nearer the load bus from the outage generator is, the sooner the load bus will shed and vice versa. Finally, by selecting the rate of change of generation active power, rate of change of active power of load, rate of change of frequency, rate of change of branches active power and rate of change of voltage in the system as the input to an Artificial Neural Network, the generators outage, the load shedding bus are determined in a short period of time to maintain the stability of the system. With this technique, a large amount of load shedding could be avoided, hence, saved from economic losses. The effectiveness of the proposed method tested on the IEEE 39 Bus New England has demonstrated the effectiveness of this method.

Keywords—load shedding, Voltage Electrical Distance, Artificial Neural Network, under-frequency load shedding.

I. INTRODUCTION

The imbalance active power between the generation and the load demand causes a decrease the frequency in the power system. When the balance with the active power occurs, it'll give the serious for the frequency instability of the system. The methods such as primary frequency control, secondary frequency control, reserve power of the generation units in the system are only effectiveness when the system is slightly overloaded and the frequency of the system is less decrease. But in cases of serious power imbalance and lead to the blackout completely, the system need be used load shedding program to recovery the frequency.

Jianfeng and et al [1] have developed a method with risk indicators to determine the bus should be targeted for load shedding to maintain stable voltages. Buses with the highest voltages risk are prioritized for load shedding. This is estimated from the probability of the collapse of the voltage occurring. Risk indicators are the products of these probabilities and the effects of voltage collapse.

In [2], Hsu and et al presented a strategy of load shedding by performing artificial neural network (ANN) and transient stability analysis for an electrical system. To prepare the training data for ANN, transient stability analysis of a real power system has been made to address the minimized load with different operating scenarios. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm was combined with the back propagation algorithm for neural network training. By choosing the total generating capacity, total load demand and decay frequency are neural inputs of ANN, the output is the minimum number of load shedding that are identified to maintain the stability of the power system.

In the paper [3], a new approach based on hybrid Particle Swarm-Based-Simulated Annealing Optimization technique (PSO-B-SA) is proposed for solving undervoltage load shedding (UVLS) problem. Under voltage load shedding (UVLS) is one of the most important tools for avoiding voltage instability. In this paper, the UVLS problem is formulated based on the concept of the static voltage stability margin and its sensitivity at the maximum loading point or the collapse point. The voltage stability criterion is modeled

directly into the load-shedding scheme. In any UVLS scheme finding the global point is very important for having cost effective economy. The proposed PSO-B-SA methodology is implemented in the under voltage load shedding scheme for IEEE 14 and 118 bus test systems. Simulation

results show the efficacy and advantage of the proposed scheme.

A good load shedding program must shed the minimum number of loads as quickly as possible, it also meets all technical constraints to ensure a stable system. Conventional load shedding techniques are limited by load shedding overloading required and slow performance. Intelligent load shedding methods have also been studied and developed such as genetic algorithm (GA) [4] and particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm [5]. These

focus on determining when and how much load should be disconnected. Studies on the location of load shedding are very limited.

This paper proposed the method of load shedding based on voltage electrical distance method and neural networks. ANN is used to identify and classify load shedding control strategies based on the designed rules. The effectiveness of the proposed method has been tested on the IEEE 39 Bus New England.

II. THE VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL DISTANCE

The voltage electrical distance between the two nodes i and j is the following formula [6]:

$$D_{(i,j)} = D_{(j,i)} = -Log(\alpha_{ij} * \alpha_{ji})$$
(1)
$$\delta V / \delta O = \delta V / \delta O$$

Where:
$$a_{ij} = \frac{\partial V_i / \partial Q_j}{\partial V_i / \delta Q_i}$$
, $a_{ji} = \frac{\partial V_j / \partial Q_i}{\partial V_i / \delta Q_i}$ (2)

 $\frac{\delta V_i}{\delta Q_j}, \ \frac{\delta V_j}{\delta Q_j}, \ \frac{\delta V_j}{\delta Q_i}, \ \frac{\delta V_i}{\delta Q_i}$ are components extracted

from the inverse matrix of the Jacobian matrix.

The formula $\Delta V_i = \alpha_{ij} * \Delta V_j$ [6] represents the voltage drop at node i when disturbance occurs at node j. From formula (1), the distance near found, relative with $D_{(i,j)}$ small or α_{ij} as large. On the other hand, the larger the α_{ij} , the lower the voltage drop at node i when the disturbance at j as large. Thus, when the generator outage, the voltage fluctuation range near the fault node is large, resulting in the voltage drop at near nodes also increases, then load shedding will be at the nearest distance load buses or the largest voltage drop.



Fig. 1: The block diagram of the relationship between the generator k and the loads

With: $D_V(k,1) < D_V(k,2) < D_V(k,3) < ... < D_V(k,n)$ Prioritized load shedding: Load $1 \rightarrow$ Load $2 \rightarrow$ Load $3 \rightarrow ... \rightarrow$ Load n

III. PROPOSED METHODS

3.1. Set up load shedding program

Load shedding program are based on three main factors: the timing, the amount of load to be shed and the location of load shedding.

The timing: The system data is sent to the control center for continuous measurement, when the system frequency is within the allowable range of 59.7Hz<f, the load shedding program will start, the neuron function will be activated to identify the generator outage and the load shedding sequence. The operating time of the UFLS relay is about 0.1s [7] after the frequency falls below the allowable threshold and the process is carried out until the recovery frequency reaches the allowable value. In some emergency cases, (short circuit, loss of generator) this method cannot maintain system stability or restore the frequency with quite long time. Using smart computing technology, the proposed effective load shedding intervals require less than 500ms [8]. Here, the proposed load shedding period is 200ms. This time period includes: measurement of data acquisition, data transfer, data processing and tripping trip. However, to ensure safe margin in real time as well as allowable errors, a period of 100ms [9] is added. So, in the simulation, here the proposed load shedding time is 300ms.

The amount of load to be shed: After obtaining the load shedding sequence list for each generator failure, use the offline PowerWorld simulation software to shed for each generator in trouble at different load levels from 80% to 100% full load. Dismissed until the frequency of the buses are within the allowable range of stopping, so that for each case the incident will have the number of load shedding corresponding to that case. The incident data collected would correspond to a number of load shedding from the trained neuron function.

Location of load shedding: Use voltage electrical distance for calculating distance between nodes. The load shedding position will be based on the distances from the generator outage (generator bus) to the remaining load buses to the load shedding order, or in other words the priority of the nodes closer to the generator will be first off, because these load nodes directly affect the generator is the most trouble. The flowchart load shedding process is shown in Fig. 2.

3.2. Application ANN to identify the load shedding

Due to the complexity of the power system, the abovementioned traditional methods take a lot of time to clear up, thus causing delays in decision making, The ANN method is used to solve difficult problems that traditional methods do not solve in terms of speed and performance.

International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaems.5.3.3</u>

However, ANN needs to be trained on the basis of initial data. Therefore, it is necessary to build the set to leran, including various outages. Data samples representing in each outage are the change in generator power ΔP_G , the change of frequency in buses Δf_{bus} , the voltage drop of buses ΔV_{bus} , the change of load ΔP_{load} and the change of power distribution across the transmission lines ΔP_{branch} . During the simulation, various load levels were considered to cover the operating modes.



Fig. 2: Flowchart load shedding online

During the ANN model identification process, the creation database of the generator outage is considered the most important. Reliable databases not only determine the accuracy of the assessment, but also have a significant impact on the robustness of the model.

There are two elements that need to be clearly demonstrated during the simulation:

- The database must cover the operational status and must adequately represent the various incident scenarios.

- The generated database must ensure the objectivity of the parameters of the test power system.

The process of creating a database based on simulation PowerWorld and it is done through the following 5 stages:



Fig. 3: Simulation steps for input, output sampling The process of creating input database set is shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4: The process of creating input database set The process of creating output database set is shown in Fig 5.

International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS)





Fig. 6: Neural network training model with inputs and outputs

IV. TESTING ON THE IEEE 39 BUS NEW ENGLAND

The proposed method is tested on The IEEE 39 bus New England, using Power world software to collect samples and Matlab software for neural network training.



Fig. 7: The IEEE 39 bus New England

4.1. Load shedding program the neural network The process created a load shedding program use the neural network shown in Fig. 8.



Fig. 8: Flowchart simulator sampling and neural network training

4.2. Creating database set

Data is available when each generator outage occurs at different load levels (80% to 100%). This process is done by off-line simulation using PowerWorld software.

Parameters representing in each case of generator faults are the change of generator power (ΔP_G), the change of frequency at the bus (Δf_{bus}), the voltage drop at the bus (ΔV_{bus}), the change in load capacity (ΔP_{load}) and the change in power distribution across transmission lines (ΔP_{branch}) .

4.3. Calculate the Voltage Electrical Distance

Voltage electrical distance is physical relationship between two buses in power system. Voltage electrical distance can be obtained by following step.

Step 1: Turning all PV generator buses into PQ load buses.

Step 2: From the matrix Jacobian J, have a matrix J4 from Powerworld, in which $J4 = [\partial Q/\partial V]$ (3)

Step 3: Inverse J4, call $B = J4^{-1}$. Each element of matrix B is written:

$$b_{ij} = \left[\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial Q_i}\right] \tag{4}$$

Step 4: Take the α_{ij} decrease matrix, between nodes

i and j, as follows:
$$a_{ij} = \frac{b_{ij}}{b_{ij}}$$
 (5)

$$a_{ji} = \frac{b_{ij}}{b_{ii}} \tag{6}$$

Step 5: Calculate the voltage electrical distance between nodes i and j calculated according to the formula (1):

$$D_{ij} = D_{ji} = -\log(\alpha_{ij} \times \alpha_{ji})$$

After completing step 5, we obtain table 1

	Bus 30	Bus 32	Bus 33	Bus 34	Bus 35	Bus 36	Bus 37	Bus 38	Bus 39	
Bus 3	0.2713	0.6145	0.5104	0.6583	0.4860	0.5908	0.3627	0.5117	0.4162	
Bus 4	0.4117	0.4983	0.5665	0.7144	0.5421	0.6469	0.4949	0.6263	0.4479	
Bus 7	0.5514	0.5471	0.7253	0.8732	0.7009	0.8057	0.6386	0.7783	0.4446	
Bus 8	0.5339	0.5491	0.7153	0.8631	0.6909	0.7957	0.6221	0.7643	0.4053	
Bus 12	0.7925	0.6192	0.9137	1.0615	0.8893	0.9940	0.8725	0.9968	0.7824	
Bus 15	0.4517	0.6132	0.4053	0.5531	0.3809	0.4856	0.5106	0.5905	0.5427	
Bus 16	0.4124	0.6393	0.3183	0.4662	0.2939	0.3987	0.4651	0.5323	0.5243	
Bus 18	0.3432	0.6505	0.4561	0.6039	0.4317	0.5364	0.4074	0.4983	0.4790	
Bus 20	0.7244	0.9513	0.2636	0.1542	0.6059	0.7107	0.7771	0.8443	0.8363	
Bus 21	0.5188	0.7458	0.4248	0.5726	0.2317	0.3679	0.5716	0.6387	0.6308	
Bus 23	0.5941	0.8210	0.5000	0.6479	0.2020	0.2170	0.6468	0.7140	0.7060	
Bus 24	0.4657	0.6926	0.3716	0.5194	0.3064	0.3967	0.5184	0.5855	0.5776	
Bus 25	0.2592	0.7422	0.6092	0.7571	0.5848	0.6896	0.1742	0.4549	0.4567	
Bus 26	0.3793	0.7671	0.5769	0.7247	0.5525	0.6573	0.3553	0.2737	0.5449	
Bus 27	0.3901	0.7334	0.5179	0.6658	0.4936	0.5983	0.3963	0.3713	0.5405	
Bus 28	0.5605	0.9483	0.7581	0.9059	0.7337	0.8384	0.5365	0.1557	0.7261	
Bus 29	0.5702	0.9580	0.7678	0.9156	0.7434	0.8482	0.5462	0.0828	0.7359	
Bus 39	0.5220	0.8264	0.8426	0.9905	0.8182	0.9230	0.6309	0.8187	0.0000	

Table.1: Table voltage electrical distance between the generator buses and the load buses.

From Table 1, we build the order of load shedding for each of the generator outage following in Table 2.

International Journal of Advanced Engineering,	Management	and Science	(IJAEMS)
https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaems.5.3.3			

[Vol-5, Issue-3, Mar-2019] ISSN: 2454-1311

Tuble.2. Troposed toda shearing strategy									
Bus Order	Bus 30	Bus 32	Bus 33	Bus 34	Bus 35	Bus 36	Bus 37	Bus 38	Bus 39
1	Load 25	Load 4	Load 20	Load 20	Load 23	Load 23	Load 25	Load 29	Load 39
2	Load 3	Load 7	Load 16	Load 16	Load 21	Load 21	Load 26	Load 28	Load 8
3	Load 18	Load 8	Load 24	Load 24	Load 16	Load 24	Load 3	Load 26	Load 3
4	Load 26	Load 15	Load 15	Load 15	Load 24	Load 16	Load 27	Load 27	Load 7
5	Load 27	Load 3	Load 21	Load 21	Load 15	Load 15	Load 18	Load 25	Load 4
6	Load 4	Load 12	Load 18	Load 18	Load 18	Load 18	Load 16	Load 18	Load 25
7	Load 16	Load 16	Load 23	Load 23	Load 3	Load 3	Load 4	Load 3	Load 18
8	Load 15	Load 18	Load 3	Load 3	Load 27	Load 27	Load 15	Load 16	Load 16
9	Load 24	Load 24	Load 27	Load 27	Load 4	Load 4	Load 24	Load 24	Load 27
10	Load 21	Load 27	Load 4	Load 4	Load 26	Load 26	Load 28	Load 15	Load 15
11	Load 39	Load 25	Load 26	Load 26	Load 25	Load 25	Load 29	Load 4	Load 26
12	Load 8	Load 21	Load 25	Load 25	Load 20	Load 20	Load 21	Load 21	Load 24
13	Load 7	Load 26	Load 8	Load 23	Load 21				
14	Load 28	Load 23	Load 7	Load 7	Load 7	Load 7	Load 39	Load 8	Load 23
15	Load 29	Load 39	Load 28	Load 28	Load 28	Load 28	Load 7	Load 7	Load 28
16	Load 23	Load 28	Load 29	Load 29	Load 29	Load 29	Load 23	Load 39	Load 29
17	Load 20	Load 20	Load 39	Load 39	Load 39	Load 39	Load 20	Load 20	Load 12
18	Load 12	Load 29	Load 12	Load 20					

Table 2. Proposed load shedding strategy

Explanation: According to the suggested strategy table above, if there is a fault at generator 30, the load shedding order will be Load $25 \rightarrow$ Load $3 \rightarrow$ Load $18 \rightarrow$... until the system is stabilized again. Similarly, for the remaining generators.

4.4. Build a learning sample set, identify the input variable, output variable

The number of sample data received via off-line simulation

total plus 189 samples (including 21 load from 80% to 100%, per each level have 9 case with 9 generators outage).

Building a learning template is a file under [samples x variables]. The samples are rows, and variables are columns.

Samples data in the learning template under the vector include the variables to the ΔP_G (10), Δf_{bus} (39), ΔV_{bus} (39), ΔP_{load} (19) và ΔP_{branch} (46).

Total the input variables are 153 = (10 + 39 + 39 + 19 + 46)

 $x = [\Delta P_G \Delta f_{bus} \Delta V_{bus} \Delta P_{load} \Delta P_{branch}]$

The output variables y is assigned as following: y = [y1 STR1 STR2 STR3 STR4... STRn] = 13

where: y1 is the name of generator outage, STRn is strategic load shedding

Total results of the strategic load shedding on the Table 3

Table.3: Load shedding strategy for each generator fault

Tubles: Loua sheading sharesy jor each generator jaun						
Strategic load shedding	Load to be shed					
Strategic 1 (STR1)	L25					

Strategic 2 (STR2)	L25, L3
Strategic 3 (STR3)	L4, L7
Strategic 4 (STR4)	L4, L7, L8
Strategic 5 (STR5)	L20
Strategic 6 (STR6)	L20, L16
Strategic 7 (STR7)	L23, L21, L16
Strategic 8 (STR8)	L23, L21, L24
Strategic 9 (STR9)	L25, L26, L3
Strategic 10 (STR10)	L25, L26, L3, L27
Strategic 11 (STR11)	L29, L28, L26, L27
Strategic 12 (STR12)	L39

Data consists of 189 samples and split into two subsets: training data and test data. Training data covers all generator faults at various load levels as well as covers all strategies load shedding when the generator faults.

Training data is 85% of the samples (162 samples), test data is 15% of the samples (27 samples).

4.5. Neural network training

The training of the neural network using the Back Propagation Neural Network (BPNN) with the Scaled Conjugate Gradient training algorithm was developed by Moller [10]. It was designed to reduce computational time at each step of the search, so the training time is faster and more optimal. It only needs 4 hidden layers neural and much less than the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. Meanwhile, the accuracy and error of the network is equivalent to the training method by LevenbergMarquardt algorithm. The structure of the neuron network is shown in Fig. 9.



Fig. 9: Neural network training structure



Fig. 10: The relationship between the number of neurons in the hidden layers and the accuracy

4.6. Test load shedding on the IEEE 39 bus New England by Powerwold.

Process simulation sampling with the IEEE 10 generators 39 bus are made as follows:

Assuming the generator outage is 34, at load level 100%, the frequency and deviation of the rotor angle become unstable.



Fig. 11: Diagram of frequency of system at fault generator 34 load level 100%

When implementing the proposed method, just load shedding the load on bus 20, the frequency has stabilized.

V. COMPARISON OF METHODLOGY SUGGESTED WITH OTHER METHODS.

5.1. Load shedding based on under frequency load shedding relays [11].

Load shedding based on under frequency load shedding relays is the most commonly used method, which is still being used in many parts of the world, including Vietnam. When the grid frequency falls below the permitted threshold, the relay will be load shedding, www.ijaems.com prevents the system frequency declines. Without this load shedding control, the greatest possible consequence is the blackout and the widespread outage.

For example, the FRCC load shedding program, has a load shedding plan under frequency load shedding shown in Table 4.

Table.4: The FRCC load shedding program

UFLS Steps	Frequency (Hz)	Time delay (s)	Amount of load (% of member system)	Cumulative amount of load (%)
А	59.7	0.28	9	9
В	59.4	0.28	7	16
С	59.1	0.28	7	23
D	58.8	0.28	6	29
Е	58.5	0.28	5	34
F	58.2	0.28	7	41
L	59.4	10	5	46

In case of fault at generator 34 the load is 100%, when the system frequency drops to 59.7Hz, it was load shedding 9% of total load. System frequency continues to fall, and when dropped to 59.4Hz, load shedding 7% of total load. The system frequency started to stabilize, the total load shedding was 16% (9% + 7%).

5.2. Load shedding based on the AHP algorithm (Analytic Hierarchy Process) [12]

AHP is the approach to making decisions. This method presents balanced assessment options and criteria, and integrates them into a final decision. AHP is particularly suitable for cases involving analysis and quantification, make decisions when there are multiple options depending on the criteria with multiple interactions.

Strategic load shedding according to the AHP algorithm is shown in Table 5.

Table.5: The order of load shedding according to the AHP algorithm

Order	Load
1	L31
2	L12
3	L18
4	L26
5	L23
6	L25
7	L21
8	L28
9	L24
10	L3
11	L16
12	L15
13	L29
14	L27

International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS) <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaems.5.3.3</u>

15	L7
16	L20
17	L8
18	L4
19	L39

In the case of generator 34 outage, the load is 100%, load shedding according to AHP algorithm will be shed 31-12-18-26-23-25, the system is stability.

5.3. Simulation and results

Comparative results of the methods are presented in Table 6.

Table.6:	Comparative	results of	the methods
----------	-------------	------------	-------------

Method	Amount of load shedding (MW)	Frequency recovery time (s)	Frequency stability (Hz)
UFLS relay	975,52	65	60,75
AHP	785,2	47	60,157
Proposed	628	35	60,030

In the case of generators 34 outage with a load level of 100%, the proposed method has many advantages over the AHP method and the low frequency method, as follows:

The proposed load shedding method reduced the amount of load by 347.52 MW (55.3%) compared to the traditional load shedding method and 157.2 MW (25%) compared to the load shedding method based on the AHP algorithm.

The proposed load shedding method had a frequency recovery time of 30 seconds (85.7%) compared to

traditional load shedding and 12 seconds faster (34.3%) than load shedding based on the AHP algorithm. The simulation result is shown in Fig. 12.



Fig. 12: Diagram of system frequency after generator 34 outage of all three methods of load shedding



Fig. 13: Diagram of voltage after generator 34 outage of all three methods of load shedding

		ULFC			АНР			Proposed		
Bus	Normal voltage (pu)	Recover y voltage (pu)	The change in voltage variation (%)	Recover y time (s)	Recover y voltage (pu)	The change in voltage variation (%)	Recover y time (s)	Recover y voltage (pu)	The change in voltage variation (%)	Recover y time (s)
Bus 3	1.054	1.094	3.74%	66	1.075	2.02%	52	1.056	0.14%	33
Bus 4	1.055	1.107	4.92%	85	1.082	2.58%	56	1.057	0.17%	33
Bus 7	1.056	1.109	5.07%	71	1.083	2.58%	54	1.057	0.15%	41
Bus 8	1.053	1.106	4.99%	71	1.079	2.49%	56	1.055	0.14%	47
Bus 12	1.045	1.105	5.71%	83	1.098	5.11%	53	1.047	0.19%	24
Bus 15	1.038	1.082	4.33%	72	1.059	2.05%	55	1.039	0.18%	29
Bus 16	1.046	1.084	3.68%	64	1.063	1.70%	38	1.048	0.18%	26
Bus 18	1.050	1.090	3.81%	85	1.074	2.27%	53	1.052	0.16%	34
Bus 20	0.993	0.990	0.27%	66	0.967	2.68%	27	0.998	0.47%	27
Bus 21	1.041	1.080	3.76%	67	1.059	1.73%	36	1.043	0.17%	32
Bus 23	1.049	1.090	3.93%	85	1.076	2.62%	34	1.051	0.20%	26
Bus 24	1.050	1.088	3.67%	69	1.068	1.76%	36	1.052	0.19%	34
Bus 25	1.065	1.098	3.16%	67	1.096	2.91%	43	1.066	0.12%	37
Bus 26	1.064	1.102	3.51%	67	1.091	2.48%	36	1.066	0.14%	27
Bus 27	1.052	1.092	3.76%	67	1.076	2.26%	31	1.054	0.15%	29
Bus 28	1.060	1.096	3.35%	70	1.081	1.97%	41	1.062	0.12%	26
Bus 29	1.058	1.092	3.18%	67	1.077	1.80%	35	1.059	0.12%	27
Bus 31	0.982	1.020	3.88%	70	1.002	2.00%	40	0.983	0.13%	29
Bus 39	1.030	1.038	0.73%	70	1.032	0.19%	44	1.030	0.02%	27
Average	1.04	1.08	3.65%	71.68	1.07	2.27%	43.16	1.05	0.17%	30.95

	C 1	101 1 1 1 24	1	C 11 1 1 1
Table. 7: Comparison	of recovery voltages at	[•] 19 bus loads when 34	generators were los	t for all three methods
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0	J

In the case of generators 34 outage with a load level of 100%, the proposed method has many advantages over the AHP method and the low frequency method, as follows:

The proposed load shedding method has a voltage recovery time of 131.6% compared to conventional method and 39.5% compared to the AHP algorithm.

The proposed load shedding method has better recovering voltage values than traditional methods and AHP algorithm methods as follows: the change in voltage variation compared to the voltage before the fault of proposed load shedding method is 0.17%, the traditional method is 3.65% and the AHP algorithm method is 2.27%.

VI. CONCLUSION

The paper proposed a load shedding scheme with priority based on the voltage electrical distance between the generator outage and the load nodes to ensure system stability in the event of a severe load imbalance in the event loss a generator occurring in the electric system. The effectiveness of the proposed load shedding program has been demonstrated by analyzing the simulation results of the IEEE 39 system, 10 generators. The results show that: the method of load shedding proposed to reduce the amount of load shedding, frequency recovery time, faster voltage, and better recovery voltage values than traditional methods and algorithm AHP.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education, and Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology.

REFERENCES

- Jianfeng, D., Z. Shuangxi, and L. Zongxiang (2005), "A New Risk Indices Based Under voltage load shedding scheme" Department of Electrical Engineering.
- Hsu, C.T., M.S. Kang, and C.S. Chen (2005),
 "Design of adaptive load shedding by artificial neural networks," IEE Proceedings - Generation, Transmission and Distribution, vol. 152, pp. 415.
- [3] Sadati, N., T. Amraee, and A.M. Ranjbar (2009), "A global Particle Swarm-Based-Simulated Annealing Optimization technique for under-voltage load shedding problem". Applied Soft Computing, vol. 9, pp. 652-657.
- [4] Ying-Yi Hong, and Po-Hsuang Chen (2012),
 "Genetic-Based Underfrequency Load Shedding in a Stand-Alone Power System Considering Fuzzy Loads," IEEE transactions on power delivery, vol. 27, pp.87-95.

- [5] Abbas Ketabi, and Masoud Hajiakbari Fini (2017), "Adaptive underfrequency load shedding using particle swarm optimization algorithm," Journal of Applied Research and Technology, vol. 15, pp.54-60.
- [6] Lagonotte Patrick (August 1990), "The different electrical distance". In Proceedings of the Tenth Power Systems Computation Conference, Graz, Austria, pp. 542-550.
- [7] Hooshmand, R. and M. Moazzami (2012), "Optimal design of adaptive under frequency load shedding using artificial neural networks in isolated power system," International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 42, pp. 220-228.
- [8] Bevrani, H., G. Ledwich, and J.J. Ford (2009), "On the use of df/dt in power system emergency control," in 2009 IEEE/PES Power Systems Conference and Exposition.
- [9] Shekari, T., F. Aminifar, and M. Sanaye-Pasand (2016), "An Analytical Adaptive Load Shedding Scheme Against Severe Combinational Disturbances". IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 31, pp. 4135-4143.
- [10] Moller (1993), "M.F.: A Scaled Conjugate Gradient Algorithm For Fast Supervised Learning," Neural Networks 6, pp. 525–533.
- [11] FRCC (2008), FRCC System Disturbance and Underfrequency Load Shedding Event Report.
- [12] Y.C. Erensal, T. O[•] zcan, M.L. Demircan (2006), "Determining key capabilities in technology management using fuzzy analytic hierarchy process, A case study of Turkey," Information Sciences 176, pp. 2755–2770.